## Pencil and Paper Exercises Week 2 — Solutions

- 1. Prove that a binary relation R is transitive iff  $R \circ R \subseteq R$ .
  - Answer:

 $\Rightarrow$ : Assume R is a transitive binary relation on A.

To be proved:  $R \circ R \subseteq R$ .

Proof. Let  $(x, y) \in R \circ R$ . We must show that  $(x, y) \in R$ . From the definition of  $\circ: \exists z \in A : (x, z) \in R, (z, y) \in R$ . It follows from this and transitivity of R that  $(x, y) \in R$ .

- $\Leftarrow: \text{Assume } R \circ R \subseteq R.$
- To be proved: R is transitive.

Proof. Let  $(x, y) \in R, (y, z) \in R$ . Then  $(x, z) \in R \circ R$ . Since  $R \circ R \subseteq R$ , it follows from this that  $(x, z) \in R$ . Thus, R is transitive.

- 2. Give an example of a transitive binary relation R with the property that  $R \circ R \neq R$ . Answer: The simplest example is a relation consisting of a single pair, say  $R = \{(1,2)\}$ . This relation is transitive, but  $R \circ R = \emptyset \neq R$ .
- 3. Let R be a binary relation on a set A. Prove that  $R \cup \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}$  is the reflexive closure of R.

Answer: Let  $I = \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}$ . It is clear that  $R \cup I$  is reflexive, and that  $R \subseteq R \cup I$ .

To show that  $R \cup I$  is the smallest relation with these two properties, suppose S is reflexive and  $R \subseteq S$ . Then by reflexivity of S,  $I \subseteq S$ . It follows that  $R \cup I \subseteq S$ .

4. Prove that  $R \cup R^{\sim}$  is the symmetric closure of R.

Answer: Clearly,  $R \cup R^{\tilde{}}$  is symmetric, and  $R \subseteq R \cup R^{\tilde{}}$ . Let S be any symmetric relation that includes R. By symmetry of S and by the fact that  $R \subseteq S$  it follows that  $R^{\tilde{}} \subseteq S$ . Thus  $R \cup R^{\tilde{}} \subseteq S$ .

- 5. A partition P of a set A is a set of subsets of A with the following properties:
  - (a) every member of P is non-empty.
  - (b) every element of A belongs to some member of P.
  - (c) different members of P are disjoint.

If R is an equivalence relation on A and  $a \in A$  then  $|a|_R$ , the R-class of a, is the set  $\{b \in A \mid bRa\}$ . Show that the set of R-classes

$$\{|a|_R \mid a \in A\}$$

of an equivalence relation R on A forms a partition of A.

Answer: We have to check the three properties of partitions.

Since R is a reflexive relation on A, we have that for each  $a \in A$  it holds that  $a \in |a|_R$ . This takes care of (a) and (b).

Let  $|a|_R$  and  $|b|_R$  be two *R*-classes, and assume that they are not disjoint. Then we have  $c \in |a|_R \cap |b|_R$ .

This means that we have both aRc and bRc. Since R is symmetric, we have cRb, and by transitivity of R, aRb, and again by symmetry of R, bRa.

We can show now that  $|a|_R = |b|_R$ , as follows:

Assume  $d \in |a|_R$ . Then dRa. From this and aRb, by transitivity of R, dRb, i.e.,  $d \in |b|_R$ . This shows:  $|a|_R \subseteq |b|_R$ .

Assume  $d \in |b|_R$ . Then *dRb*. From this and *bRa*, by transitivity of *R*, *dRa*, i.e.,  $d \in |a|_R$ . This shows:  $|b|_R \subseteq |a|_R$ .

So if two *R*-classes  $|a|_R$  and  $|b|_R$  are not disjoint, then they are in fact equal. This takes care of (c).

6. Give the euclidean closure of the relation  $\{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$ .

Answer: the relation  $\{(1,2), (2,2), (2,3), (3,2), (3,3)\}.$ 

7. Show that for any relation R, the relation  $R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R$  is symmetric, transitive and euclidean.

Answer. Use E for  $R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R$ . For symmetry of E, let  $(x, y) \in E$ . Then there is some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $(x, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^m \circ R$ . So there are z, u with  $(x, z) \in R^{\check{}}$ ,  $(z, u) \in (R \cup R^{\check{}})^m$ ,  $(u, y) \in R$ . But then  $(y, u) \in R^{\check{}}$ ,  $(u, z) \in (R \cup R^{\check{}})^m$ ,  $(z, x) \in R$ . It follows that  $(y, x) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^m \circ R$ . and therefore  $(y, x) \in E$ .

For transitivity, assume  $(x, y) \in E$ ,  $(y, z) \in E$ . Then there are  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $(x, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^m \circ R$ .  $(y, z) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^k \circ R$ . It follows that  $(x, z) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^{m+k+2} \circ R$ , and therefore  $(x, z) \in E$ .

Euclideanness: any transitive and symmetric relation is euclidean.

8. Prove by induction that if R is an euclidean relation, then  $R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R \subseteq R$ .

Answer. Basis:  $R \circ R \subseteq R$ . This follows from the euclideanness of R.

Induction step. The induction hypothesis is that  $R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R \subseteq R$ . We must prove that  $R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^{n+1} \circ R \subseteq R$ .

We are done if we can prove the following two facts: (i)  $R^{\check{}} \circ R \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R \subseteq R$ , and (ii)  $R^{\check{}} \circ R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R \subseteq R$ .

(i) Assume  $(x, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ R \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R$ . Then there is a z with  $(x, z) \in R^{\check{}} \circ R$  and  $(z, y) \in (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R$ .

By the fact that  $R^{\check{}} \circ R$  is symmetric,  $(z, x) \in R^{\check{}} \circ R$ . From this and euclideanness of  $R, (z, x) \in R$ , and therefore  $(x, z) \in R^{\check{}}$ . Combining this with  $(z, y) \in (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R$ 

we get that  $(x, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R$ , from which it follows by the induction hypothesis that  $(x, y) \in R$ .

(ii) Assume  $(x, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R$ . Then there is a z with  $(x, z) \in R^{\check{}}$  and  $(z, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^n \circ R$ . From the first of these,  $(z, x) \in R$ . From the second of these, by induction hypothesis,  $(z, y) \in R$ . From  $(z, x) \in R$  and  $(z, y) \in R$  by euclideanness of R,  $(x, y) \in R$ .

9. Prove that

$$R \cup (R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R)$$

is the euclidean closure of R.

Answer: Let E be the relation  $R \cup (R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R)$ . Clearly,  $R \subseteq E$ .

We show that E is euclidean. Let  $(x, y) \in E$ ,  $(x, z) \in E$ . Then there are three cases to consider.

Case 1.  $(x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in R$ . Then  $(y, z) \in R^{\sim} \circ R$ . Since  $R^{\sim} \circ R \subseteq E$ , it follows that  $(y, z) \in E$ .

Case 2.  $(x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in R^* \circ (R \cup R^*)^m \circ R$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From the givens,

$$(y,z) \in R^{\check{}} \circ R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^m \circ R.$$

It follows that  $(y, z) \in R^{\sim} \circ (R \cup R^{\sim})^{m+1} \circ R$ , and therefore  $(y, z) \in E$ .

Case 3.  $(x, y) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R, (x, z) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R$ . Now we get from the euclideanness of  $R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R$  (proved in a previous exercise) that  $(y, z) \in R^{\check{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\check{}})^* \circ R$ , and therefore  $(y, z) \in E$ .

Finally, we have to prove that E is the smallest relation with the required properties. Let S be an arbitrary euclidean relation that includes R. We must show that  $E \subseteq S$ . Since S is euclidean, we know from the previous exercise that  $S^{\sim} \circ (S \cup S^{\sim})^* \circ S \subseteq S$ . Since  $R \subseteq S$  we also have

$$R^{\tilde{}} \circ (R \cup R^{\tilde{}})^* \circ R \subseteq S^{\tilde{}} \circ (S \cup S^{\tilde{}})^* \circ S \subseteq S.$$

From this, together with  $R \subseteq S$ , it follows that  $E \subseteq S$ .

10. Prove that **lfp**  $(\lambda S.S \cup (S \circ S))$  *R* is the transitive closure of *R*. (**lfp** *f c* is the least fixpoint of the operation *f*, starting from *c*.)

Answer. Let  $f = \lambda S.S \cup (S \circ S)$ , and let  $T = \mathbf{lfp} f R$ .

Then f is monotone, i.e., for all arguments  $X, X \subseteq f(X)$ . In particular,  $R \subseteq f(R) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq T$ . This shows that  $R \subseteq T$ .

We show that T is transitive. Since T is a fixpoint, T = f(T), i.e.,  $T = T \cup (T \circ T)$ . In other words,  $T \circ T \subseteq T$ . It follows from this that T is transitive by the result of the first exercise. Finally, we show that T is the smallest transitive relation that includes R. Let S be an arbitrary transitive relation with  $R \subseteq S$ . From transitivity of S it follows that  $S \circ S \subseteq S$ . Therefore, S = f(S), i.e., S is a fixpoint. Since T is the least fixpoint it follows that  $T \subseteq S$ .

11. Prove that **lfp**  $(\lambda S.S \cup (S^{\sim} \circ S))$  R is the euclidean closure of R.

Answer: similar to the reasoning in the previous exercise. Let  $f = \lambda S.S \cup (S^{\sim} \circ S)$ , and let  $E = \mathbf{lfp} f R$ .

Then f is monotone, i.e., for all arguments  $X, X \subseteq f(X)$ . In particular,  $R \subseteq f(R) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq E$ . This shows that  $R \subseteq E$ .

We show that E is euclidean. Since E is a fixpoint, E = f(E), i.e.,  $E = E \cup (E^{\circ} \circ E)$ . In other words,  $E^{\circ} \circ E \subseteq E$ . It follows from this that E is euclidean by an argument given in the course slides.

Finally, we show that E is the smallest euclidean relation that includes R. Let S be an arbitrary euclidean relation with  $R \subseteq S$ . From euclideanness of S it follows that  $S \circ S \subseteq S$ . Therefore, S = f(S), i.e., S is a fixpoint. Since E is the least fixpoint it follows that  $E \subseteq S$ .

12. Give an example of a formula  $\phi$  and a Kripke model M, with the following properties: (i)  $M \models \phi$ , and (ii)  $M \mid \phi \not\models \phi$ . In other words, public announcement of  $\phi$  has the effect that  $\phi$  becomes false.

Answer. The simplest example is a model where p is true but agent a does not know that. Then publicly announcing 'p is true, but you don't know it' will result in a situation where what gets announced is falsified by the announcement itself. The formula for this is  $p \wedge \neg K_a p$ .