Representing Public Announcement Updates

Jan van Eijck

jve@cwi.nl

August 5, 2008

Abstract

Public announcement is a means to create common knowledge: if φ is publicly announced to a set of agents, then every agent knows φ , every agent knows that every agent knows that φ , and so on.

We will first look at definitions, and then turn to implementation.

Public Announcement as an Update

Public announcements $[\varphi]$ change knowledge states, so their semantics can be given as a function from Kripke models to Kripke models:

$$M \mapsto M \mid \varphi$$

 $M \mid \varphi$ given by:

if
$$M=(W\!,V\!,R)$$
 then $M\mid \varphi=(W',V',R')$

with

$$W' = \{ w \in W \mid M, w \models \varphi \}$$

$$V' = V \upharpoonright W'$$

$$R' = \{ w \xrightarrow{a} w' \mid w \xrightarrow{a} w' \in R, w, w' \in W' \}$$

Effect on Actual Worlds

A pointed Kripke model is a quadruple M = (W, V, R, U) with (W, V, R) a Kripke model, and $U \subseteq W$ a set of points.

Intention: the actual world is among U.

Extension of the definition $M \mid \varphi$ to pointed models:

$$(W, V, R, U) \mid \varphi = (W', V', R', U')$$

where (W', V', R') is as above, and

$$U' = \{ u \in U \mid (W, V, R), u \models \varphi \}$$

Public Announcement with Falsehood

 φ is a falsehood in pointed model $M=(W\!,V\!,R,U)$ if

$$(W\!,V\!,R),u\not\models\varphi$$

for all $u \in U$.

The result of updating with a falsehood is an inconsistent pointed model, i.e., a pointed model of the form (W', V', R', \emptyset) .

Learning that $p \lor q$ by public announcement

Initial model: a and b ignorant about p and q, and no possibility as yet ruled out:



Result of public announcement that $p \lor q$:



Module Declaration

module RPAU

where

import List

import HFKR

First your homework

```
reflR :: Eq a => [a] \rightarrow Rel a \rightarrow Bool
reflR xs r =
  [(x,x) | x < -xs] 'containedIn' r
symmR :: Eq a => Rel a -> Bool
symmR r = cnv r 'containedIn' r
transR :: Eq a => Rel a -> Bool
transR r = (r @@ r) 'containedIn' r
isS5 :: Eq a => [a] -> Rel a -> Bool
isS5 xs r = reflR xs r && transR r && symmR r
```

Example Epistemic Model

```
s5example :: EpistM Integer
s5example =
Mo [0..3]
[a..c]
[(0,[]),(1,[P 0]),(2,[Q 0]),(3,[P 0, Q 0])]
([ (a,x,x) | x <- [0..3] ] ++
[ (b,x,x) | x <- [0..3] ] ++
[ (c,x,y) | x <- [0..3], y <- [0..3] ])
[1]
```

Extracting domain, relations, and valuation from an epistemic model

```
dom :: EpistM a -> [a]
dom (Mo states _ _ _ _) = states
rel :: Agent -> EpistM a -> Rel a
rel a (Mo states agents val rels actual) =
      [ (x,y) | (agent,x,y) <- rels, a == agent ]
valuation :: EpistM a -> [(a,[Prop])]
valuation (Mo _ _ val _ _ ) = val
```

```
RPAU> rel a s5example
[(0,0),(1,1),(2,2),(3,3)]
RPAU> rel b s5example
[(0,0),(1,1),(2,2),(3,3)]
RPAU> rel c s5example
[(0,0),(0,1),(0,2),(0,3),(1,0),(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),
(2,0),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,0),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3)]
RPAU> isS5 (dom s5example) (rel a s5example)
True
```

From equivalence relations to partitions

Every equivalence relation R on A corresponds to a partition on A: the set $\{[a]_R \mid a \in A\}$, where $[a]_R = \{b \in A \mid (a, b) \in R\}$. Implementation:

```
rel2partition :: Ord a => [a] -> Rel a -> [[a]]
rel2partition [] r = []
rel2partition (x:xs) r =
    xclass : rel2partition (xs \\ xclass) r
    where
    xclass = x : [ y | y <- xs, elem (x,y) r ]</pre>
```

Displaying S5 Models

The function rel2partition can be used to write a display function for S5 models that shows each accessibility relation as a partition, as follows.

Here @ is used to introduce a shorthand or name for a datastructure.

Example Display

```
displayS5 :: (Ord a,Show a) => EpistM a -> IO()
displayS5 = putStrLn . unlines . showS5
```

```
RPAU> displayS5 s5example
[0,1,2,3]
[(0,[]),(1,[p]),(2,[q]),(3,[p,q])]
(a,[[0],[1],[2],[3]])
(b,[[0],[1],[2],[3]])
(c,[[0,1,2,3]])
[1]
```

Blissful Ignorance

Blissful ignorance is the state where you don't know anything, but you know also that there is no reason to worry, for you know that nobody knows anything.

A Kripke model where every agent from agent set A is in blissful ignorance about a (finite) set of propositions P, with |P| = k, looks as follows:

$$\begin{split} M &= (W, V, R) \text{ where} \\ W &= \{0, \dots, 2^k - 1\} \\ V &= \text{ any surjection in } W \to \mathcal{P}(P) \\ R &= \{x \xrightarrow{a} y \mid x, y \in W, a \in A\}. \end{split}$$

Note that V is in fact a bijection, for $|\mathcal{P}(P)| = 2^k = |W|$.

Blissful Ignorance – Example



Generating Models for Blissful Ignorance

```
initM :: [Agent] -> [Prop] -> EpistM Integer
initM ags props = (Mo worlds ags val accs points)
 where
   worlds = [0..(2^k-1)]
   k = length props
   val = zip worlds (sortL (powerList props))
   accs = [(ag, st1, st2) | ag <- ags,
                             st1 <- worlds,
                             st2 <- worlds
   points = worlds
```

powerList, sortL, zip: see below.

powerList, sortL (sort by length)

```
powerList :: [a] -> [[a]]
powerList [] = [[]]
powerList (x:xs) =
  (powerList xs) ++ (map (x:) (powerList xs))
sortL :: Ord a => [[a]] -> [[a]]
sortL = sortBy
  (\ xs \ ys \ -> \ if \ length \ xs \ < \ length \ ys
                 then LT
               else if length xs > length ys
                 then GT
               else compare xs ys)
```

zip is a predefined function for zipping two lists together. Home-made version:

This gives:

RPAU> zip [0..2^3-1] (sortL (powerList [P 1,P 2,P 3]))
[(0,[]),(1,[p1]),(2,[p2]),(3,[p3]),(4,[p1,p2]),
(5,[p1,p3]),(6,[p2,p3]),(7,[p1,p2,p3])]

General Knowledge

The general knowledge accessibility relation of a set of agents ${\cal C}$ is given by

$$\bigcup_{c \in C} R_c.$$

Implementation:

genK :: Ord state => [(Agent,state,state)]
 -> [Agent] -> Rel state
genK r ags = [(x,y) | (a,x,y) <- r, a 'elem' ags]</pre>

Closures of Relations

If \mathcal{O} is a set of properties of relations on a set A, then the \mathcal{O} closure of a relation R on A is the smallest relation S that includes R and that has all the properties in \mathcal{O} .

The most important closures of relations:

- the reflexive closure,
- the symmetric closure,
- the transitive closure,
- the reflexive transitive closure.

Reflexive Transitive Closure

Let a set A be given. Let R be a binary relation on A. Let $I = \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}.$

We define R^n for $n \ge 0$, as follows:

- $R^0 = I$.
- $R^{n+1} = R \circ R^n$.

Next, define R^* by means of:

$$R^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R^n.$$

Computing Reflexive Transitive Closure

If A is finite, any R on A is finite as well. In particular, there will be k with $R^{k+1} \subseteq R^0 \cup \cdots \cup R^k$.

Thus, in the finite case reflexive transitive closure can be computed by successively computing $\bigcup_{n \in \{0,..,k\}} R^n$ until $R^{k+1} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \{0,..,k\}} R^n$. In other words: the reflexive transitive closure of a relation R can be computed from I by repeated application of the operation

 $\lambda S.(S \cup (R \circ S)),$

until the operation reaches a fixpoint.

Least Fixpoint

A fixpoint of an operation f is an x for which f(x) = x. Least fixpoint calculation:

Computing Reflexive Transitive Closure

RPAU> rtc [1,2,3] [(1,2),(2,3)]
[(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(2,2),(2,3),(3,3)]

Computing Common Knowledge

The common knowledge relation for group of agents C is the relation

```
(\bigcup_{c \in C} R_c)^*.
```

Given that the R_c are represented as a list of triples

```
[(Agent,state,state)]
```

we can define a function that extracts the common knowledge relation:

```
commonK :: Ord state => [(Agent,state,state)]
                -> [Agent] -> [state] -> Rel state
commonK r ags xs = rtc xs (genK r ags)
```

Representing Formulas

```
data Form = Top
   | Prop Prop
   | Neg Form
   | Conj [Form]
   | Disj [Form]
   | K Agent Form
   | CK [Agent] Form
   deriving (Eq,Ord)
```

CK is the operator for common knowledge.

Example formulas

```
p = Prop (P 0)
q = Prop (Q 0)
```

Note the following type difference:

```
RPAU> :t (P 0)
P 0 :: Prop
RPAU> :t p
p :: Form
```

```
instance Show Form where
show Top = "T"
show (Prop p) = show p
show (Neg f) = '-':(show f)
show (Conj fs) = '&': show fs
show (Disj fs) = 'v': show fs
show (K agent f) = '[':show agent++"]"++show f
show (CK agents f) = 'C': show agents ++ show f
```

This gives:

```
RPAU> CK [a..c] (Disj[p,K a (Neg p)])
C[a,b,c]v[p,[a]-p]
```

Evaluation

```
isTrueAt :: Ord state =>
    EpistM state -> state -> Form -> Bool
```

Your homework for today.

Evaluating the State of Bliss

test1 = isTrueAt
 (initM [a..c] [P 0]) 0
 (CK [a..c] (Neg (K a p)))

Truth in a Model

Use the function isTrueAt to implement a function that checks for truth at all the designated states of an epistemic model:

```
isTrue :: Ord state => EpistM state -> Form -> Bool
isTrue m@(Mo worlds agents val acc points) f =
   and [ isTrueAt m s f | s <- points ]</pre>
```

Another test of initM

```
test2 = isTrue
   (initM [a..c] [P 0])
        (CK [a..c] (Neg (K a p)))
```

Finally: Public Announcement Update

```
upd_pa :: Ord state =>
          EpistM state -> Form -> EpistM state
upd_pa m@(Mo states agents val rels actual) f =
  (Mo states' agents val' rels' actual')
   where
   states' = [ s | s <- states, isTrueAt m s f ]</pre>
   val' = [(s,p) | (s,p) <- val,
                       s 'elem' states' ]
   rels' = [(a,x,y) | (a,x,y) < - rels,
                         x 'elem' states',
                         y 'elem' states' ]
   actual' = [ s | s <- actual, s 'elem' states' ]
```

Examples

```
mO = initM [a..c] [P 0,Q 0]
```

```
RPAU> displayS5 m0
[0,1,2,3]
[(0,[]),(1,[p]),(2,[q]),(3,[p,q])]
(a,[[0,1,2,3]])
(b,[[0,1,2,3]])
(c,[[0,1,2,3]])
[0,1,2,3]
```

```
RPAU> displayS5 (upd_pa m0 (Disj [p,q]))
[1,2,3]
[(1,[p]),(2,[q]),(3,[p,q])]
(a,[[1,2,3]])
(b,[[1,2,3]])
(c,[[1,2,3]])
[1,2,3]
```

Generated Submodels

The closure of a state list, given a relation and a list of agents:

```
closure :: Ord state =>
      [(Agent,state,state)] ->
      [Agent] -> [state] -> [state]
closure rel agents xs = lfp f xs
  where f = \ ys ->
   (nub .sort) (ys ++ (expand rel agents ys))
```

The expansion of a relation R given a state set S and a set of agents B is given by $\{t \mid s \xrightarrow{b} t \in R, s \in S, b \in B\}$. Implementation:

```
expand :: Ord state =>
        [(Agent,state,state)] ->
        [Agent] -> [state] -> [state]
expand rel agnts ys = (nub . sort . concat)
   [ alternatives rel ag state | ag <- agnts,
        state <- ys ]</pre>
```

The epistemic alternatives for agent a in state s are the states in sR_a (the states reachable through R_a from s):

```
alternatives :: Eq state =>
    [(Agent,state,state)] ->
    Agent -> state -> [state]
alternatives rel ag current =
    [ s' | (a,s,s') <- rel, a == ag, s == current ]</pre>
```

Homework for today

Implement the function isTrueAt for checking the truth of a formula in a state in an epistemic model.

You should use induction on the structure of the formula, of course. Next page gives the skeleton of the definition. isTrueAt :: Ord state => EpistM state -> state -> Form -> Bool isTrueAt m w Top = ... isTrueAt m@(Mo worlds agents val acc points) w (Prop p) = ... isTrueAt m w (Neg f) = ... isTrueAt m w (Conj fs) = ... isTrueAt m w (Disj fs) = ... isTrueAt m@(Mo worlds agents val acc points) w (K ag f) = ... isTrueAt

m@(Mo worlds agents val acc points) w (CK ags f) = ...

Tomorrow

- Bisimulations
- Computing bisimulation-minimal models
- Action models
- Updating with an action model