



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B

www.elsevier.com/locate/jctb


Graph invariants in the spin model

Alexander Schrijver

CWI and University of Amsterdam, CWI, Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 August 2007

Available online 11 November 2008

Keywords:

Graph invariant

Spin model

Vertex model

Reflection positive

Partition function

ABSTRACT

Given a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A , we define, for any graph G ,

$$f_A(G) := \sum_{\phi: VG \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{uv \in EG} a_{\phi(u), \phi(v)}.$$

We characterize for which graph parameters f there is a complex matrix A with $f = f_A$, and similarly for real A . We show that f_A uniquely determines A , up to permuting rows and (simultaneously) columns. The proofs are based on the Nullstellensatz and some elementary invariant-theoretic techniques.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{G} denote the collection of all finite graphs, allowing loops and multiple edges, and considering two graphs the same if they are isomorphic. A *graph invariant* is a function $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We characterize the following type of graph invariants. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be a symmetric complex $n \times n$ matrix. Define $f_A: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_A(G) := \sum_{\phi: VG \rightarrow [n]} \prod_{uv \in EG} a_{\phi(u), \phi(v)}. \quad (1)$$

Here VG and EG denote the sets of vertices and edges of G , respectively. Any edge connecting vertices u and v is denoted by uv . (So if there are k parallel vertices connecting u and v , the term $a_{\phi(u), \phi(v)}$ occurs k times.) Moreover,

$$[n] := \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

We give characterizations for A complex and for A real.

E-mail address: lex@cwi.nl.

The graph invariants f_A are motivated by parameters coming from mathematical physics and from graph theory. For instance, if $A = J - I$, where J is the $n \times n$ all-one matrix and I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then $f_A(G)$ is equal to the number of proper n -colorings of the vertices of G . If $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $f_A(G)$ is equal to the number of independent sets of G .

In terms of mathematical physics, these are graph invariants in the ‘spin model’, where vertices can be in n ‘states’ and the value is determined by the values taken on edges. This is opposite to the ‘vertex model’ (sometimes called ‘edge model’!), where the roles of vertex and edge are interchanged (cf. Szegedy [6]). For motivation and more examples, see de la Harpe and Jones [1]. For related work, see Freedman, Lovász, and Schrijver [2], Lovász and Schrijver [3,4], and Lovász and Szegedy [5].

2. Survey of results

In order to characterize the graph invariants f_A , we call a graph invariant f *multiplicative* if

$$f(K_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(GH) = f(G)f(H) \quad \text{for all } G, H \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{3}$$

Here K_0 is the graph G with no vertices and edges. Moreover, GH denotes the disjoint union of graphs G and H .

In the characterization we also need the Möbius function on partitions. Let P be a partition of a finite set X ; that is, P is an (unordered) collection of disjoint nonempty subsets of X , with union X . The sets in P are called the *classes* of P . Let Π_X denote the set of partitions of X . If Q and P are partitions of X , then we put $Q \leq P$ if for each class C of Q there is a class of P containing C . The *Möbius function* is the unique function μ_P defined on partitions P satisfying

$$\sum_{Q \leq P} \mu_Q = \delta_{P, T_X} \tag{4}$$

for any partition P of X . Here T_X denotes the trivial partition $\{\{v\} \mid v \in X\}$ of X , and $\delta_{P, T_X} = 1$ if $P = T_X$, and $\delta_{P, T_X} = 0$ otherwise.¹

For any graph G and any partition P of VG , the graph G/P is defined to be the graph with vertex set P and with for each edge $uv \in EG$ an edge $C_u C_v$, where C_u and C_v are the classes of P containing u and v respectively. (So in G/P generally several loops and multiple edges will arise.)

Now we can formulate our first characterization:

Theorem 1. *Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $f = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if and only if f is multiplicative and*

$$\sum_{P \in \Pi_{VG}} \mu_P f(G/P) = 0 \tag{5}$$

for each graph G with $|VG| > f(K_1)$.

Here K_1 is the graph G with one vertex and no edges.

From Theorem 1 we derive a characterization of those f_A with A real. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let K_2^i denote the graph with vertex set $\{1, 2\}$ and i parallel edges connecting the two vertices.

Corollary 1a. *Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if and only if the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and moreover the matrix*

$$L_f := \left(f(K_2^{i+j}) \right)_{i,j=0}^{\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)} \tag{6}$$

is positive semidefinite, where $m := \lfloor f(K_1) \rfloor$.

¹ It can be seen that $\mu_P := \prod_{C \in P} (-1)^{|C|-1} (|C|-1)!$, but we do not need this expression.

The method of proof of this theorem is inspired by Szegedy [6]. As consequence of Corollary 1a we will derive the following characterization in terms of labeled graphs, that relates to a characterization of Freedman, Lovász, and Schrijver [2].

A k -labeled graph is a pair (G, u) of a graph G and an element u of VG^k , i.e., a k -tuple of vertices of G . (The vertices in u need not be different.) Let \mathcal{G}_k denote the collection of k -labeled graphs. For two k -labeled graphs (G, u) and (H, w) , let the graph $(G, u) * (H, w)$ be obtained by taking the disjoint union of G and H , and next identifying u_i and w_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. (In other words, add for each $i = 1, \dots, k$ a new edge connecting u_i and w_i , and next contract each new edge.) Then $M_{f,k}$ is the $\mathcal{G}_k \times \mathcal{G}_k$ matrix defined by

$$(M_{f,k})_{(G,u),(H,w)} := f((G, u) * (H, w)) \tag{7}$$

for $(G, u), (H, w) \in \mathcal{G}_k$.

Corollary 1b. *Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = f_A$ for some n and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if and only if f is multiplicative and $M_{f,k}$ is positive semidefinite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Here an infinite matrix is positive semidefinite if each finite principal submatrix is positive semidefinite. The positive semidefiniteness of the matrices $M_{f,k}$ can be viewed as a form of ‘reflection positivity’ of f .

As a consequence of Corollary 1b the following can be derived (as was noticed by Laci Lovász). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the collection of graphs without parallel edges, but loops are allowed – at most one at each vertex. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ be the collection of k -labeled graphs (G, u) with $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. For $(G, u), (H, w) \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$, let the graph $(G, u) \tilde{*} (H, w)$ be obtained from $(G, u) * (H, w)$ by replacing parallel edges by one edge. Then the $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \times \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ matrix $\tilde{M}_{f,k}$ is defined by

$$(\tilde{M}_{f,k})_{(G,u),(H,w)} := f((G, u) \tilde{*} (H, w)) \tag{8}$$

for $(G, u), (H, w) \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$.

For $G, H \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, let $\text{hom}(G, H)$ denote the number of homomorphisms $G \rightarrow H$ (that is, adjacency preserving functions $VG \rightarrow VH$). Let $\text{hom}(\cdot, H)$ denote the function $G \mapsto \text{hom}(G, H)$ for $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

Corollary 1c. *Let $f : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = \text{hom}(\cdot, H)$ for some $H \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ if and only if f is multiplicative and $\tilde{M}_{f,k}$ is positive semidefinite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

This sharpens a theorem of Lovász and Schrijver [4], where, instead of multiplicativity, more strongly it is required that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the matrix $\tilde{M}_{f,k}$ has rank at most n^k . So Corollary 1c states that we need to stipulate this only for $k = 0$.

The derivation of Corollary 1c is by applying Corollary 1b to the function $\hat{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\hat{f}(G) = f(\tilde{G})$ for $G \in \mathcal{G}$, where \tilde{G} arises from G by replacing parallel edges by one edge.

An interesting question is how these results relate to the following theorem of Freedman, Lovász, and Schrijver [2]. For any $b \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and any symmetric matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, let $f_{b,A} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$f_{b,A}(G) := \sum_{\phi:VG \rightarrow [n]} \left(\prod_{v \in VG} b_{\phi(v)} \right) \left(\prod_{uv \in EG} a_{\phi(u), \phi(v)} \right) \tag{9}$$

for any graph G . So $f_A = f_{\mathbf{1},A}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the all-one function on $[n]$.

Let \mathcal{G}' denote the set of loopless graphs (but multiple edges are allowed). Consider any function $f : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For any k , let $M'_{f,k}$ be the submatrix of $M_{f,k}$ induced by the rows and columns indexed by those k -labeled graphs (G, u) for which G is loopless and all vertices in u are distinct. Then in [2] the following is proved:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = f_{b,A}|_{\mathcal{G}'}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if and only if $f(K_0) = 1$ and $M'_{f,k}$ is positive semidefinite and has rank at most n^k , for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (10)

The proof in [2] is also algebraic (based on finite-dimensional commutative algebra and on idempotents), but rather different from the proof scheme given in the present paper (based on the Nullstellensatz and on invariant-theoretic methods). It is unclear if both results (Corollary 1b and (10)) can be proved by one common method.

Back to \mathcal{G} and f_A , we will show as a side result that f_A uniquely determines A , up to permuting rows and (simultaneously) columns:

Theorem 2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $A' \in \mathbb{C}^{n' \times n'}$ be symmetric matrices. Then $f_A = f_{A'}$ if and only if $n = n'$ and $A' = P^T A P$ for some $n \times n$ permutation matrix P .*

So if H and H' are graphs in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\text{hom}(\cdot, H) = \text{hom}(\cdot, H')$, then H and H' are isomorphic.

The proofs of these theorems are based on the Nullstellensatz and on some elementary invariant-theoretic methods. A basic tool is the following theorem. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, introduce variables $x_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. If $i > j$, let $x_{i,j}$ denote the same variable as $x_{j,i}$. So, in fact, we introduce a symmetric variable matrix $X = (x_{i,j})$, and we can write $\mathbb{C}[X]$ for $\mathbb{C}[x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, \dots, x_{n,n}]$. For any graph G , let $p_n(G)$ be the following polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X]$:

$$p_n(G) := \sum_{\phi: V_G \rightarrow [n]} \prod_{uv \in EG} x_{\phi(u), \phi(v)}. \tag{11}$$

So $p_n(G)(A) = f_A(G)$ for any symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

The symmetric group S_n acts on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ by $x_{i,j} \mapsto x_{\pi(i), \pi(j)}$ for $i, j \in [n]$ and $\pi \in S_n$. As usual, $\mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ denotes the set of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ that are invariant under the action of S_n . In other words, $\mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ consists of all polynomials $p(X)$ with $p(P^T X P) = p(X)$ for each $n \times n$ permutation matrix P . It turns out to be equal to the linear hull of the polynomials $p_n(G)$:

Theorem 3. $\text{lin}\{p_n(G) \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} = \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$.

We first prove Theorem 3 and after that Theorem 1, from which we derive Corollaries 1a, 1b, and 1c. Finally we show Theorem 2. Theorem 3 is used in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. We start with a few sections with preliminaries.

3. Quantum graphs

A quantum graph is a formal linear combination of finitely many distinct graphs, i.e., it is

$$\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \gamma_G G, \tag{12}$$

where $\gamma_G \in \mathbb{C}$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, with γ_G nonzero for only finitely many $G \in \mathcal{G}$. We identify any graph H with the quantum graph (12) having $\gamma_G = 1$ if $G = H$, and $\gamma_G = 0$ otherwise.

Let \mathcal{QG} denote the collection of quantum graphs. This is a commutative algebra, with multiplication given by

$$\left(\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \gamma_G G \right) \left(\sum_{H \in \mathcal{G}} \beta_H H \right) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{G}} \gamma_G \beta_H GH. \tag{13}$$

(As before, GH denotes the disjoint union of G and H .) In other words, \mathcal{QG} is the semigroup algebra associated with the semigroup \mathcal{G} , taking disjoint union as multiplication. Then K_0 is the unit element.

The function p_n can be extended linearly to \mathcal{QG} . Note that for all $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$:

$$p_n(GH) = p_n(G)p_n(H). \tag{14}$$

Hence p_n is an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{QG} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $p_n(\mathcal{QG})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[X]$. (An algebra homomorphism is a linear function maintaining the unit and multiplication.)

4. The Möbius transform of a graph

Choose $G \in \mathcal{G}$. The Möbius transform $M(G)$ of G is defined to be the quantum graph

$$M(G) := \sum_{P \in \Pi_{VG}} \mu_P G/P. \tag{15}$$

This can be extended linearly to the Möbius transform $M(\gamma)$ of any quantum graph $\gamma \in \mathcal{QG}$. The Möbius transform has an inverse determined by the zeta transform

$$Z(G) = \sum_{Q \in \Pi_{VG}} G/Q. \tag{16}$$

Indeed,

$$Z(M(G)) = \sum_P \sum_{Q \geq P} \mu_P G/Q = \sum_Q \sum_{P \leq Q} \mu_P G/Q = \sum_Q \delta_{Q, T_{VG}} G/Q = G/T_{VG} = G. \tag{17}$$

As M is surjective (since $M(G)$ is a linear combination of G and graphs smaller than G), we also know $M(Z(G)) = G$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$. (Indeed, $G = M(\gamma)$ for some γ , and $\gamma = Z(M(\gamma)) = Z(G)$.) So

$$Z \circ M = \text{id}_{\mathcal{QG}} = M \circ Z. \tag{18}$$

We can now describe the polynomial $p_n(M(G))$. This is equal to the following polynomial $q_n(G) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$:

$$q_n(G) := \sum_{\phi: VG \rightarrow [n]} \prod_{uv \in EG} x_{\phi(u), \phi(v)}. \tag{19}$$

Here \rightarrow means that the function is injective. Again, q_n can be extended linearly to \mathcal{QG} .

To prove that $q_n(G) = p_n(M(G))$, note that

$$p_n(G) = \sum_{Q \in \Pi_{VG}} q_n(G/Q) \tag{20}$$

(since each $\phi: VG \rightarrow [n]$ can be factorized to an injective function $Q \rightarrow [n]$ for some unique partition Q of VG). Hence $p_n(G) = q_n(Z(G))$ by (16). So $p_n = q_n \circ Z$, and hence, by (18), $q_n = p_n \circ M$. This implies that $p_n(\mathcal{QG}) = q_n(\mathcal{QG})$.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3. $\text{lin}\{p_n(G) \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} = \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$.

Proof. Trivially, $p_n(G) \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ for any graph G , hence $p_n(\mathcal{QG}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$. To see the reverse inclusion, each monomial m in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is equal to $\prod_{ij \in EG} x_{i,j}$ for some graph G with $VG = [n]$. Then, by definition of q_n , $q_n(G) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} m^\pi$, where m^π is obtained from m by replacing any $x_{i,j}$ by $x_{\pi(i), \pi(j)}$. Since each polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$ is a linear combination of polynomials $\sum_{\pi \in S_n} m^\pi$, we have $\mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n} \subseteq q_n(\mathcal{QG})$. As $p_n(\mathcal{QG}) = q_n(\mathcal{QG})$, this proves Theorem 3. \square

6. A lemma on the Möbius transform

In the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following equality in the algebra \mathcal{QG} :

Lemma 1. For any graph G : $M(K_1 G) = (K_1 - |VG|)M(G)$.

Proof. Let ϕ be the linear function $\mathcal{QG} \rightarrow \mathcal{QG}$ determined by

$$\phi(G) := (K_1 + |VG|)G \tag{21}$$

for $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then one has for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{QG}$:

$$\phi(Z(\gamma)) = Z(K_1\gamma), \tag{22}$$

since for any $H \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\phi(Z(H)) = \phi\left(\sum_{P \in \Pi_{VH}} H/P\right) = \sum_{P \in \Pi_{VH}} \phi(H/P) = \sum_{P \in \Pi_{VH}} (K_1 + |P|)H/P = Z(K_1H). \tag{23}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that sum (16) giving $Z(K_1H)$ can be split into those Q containing VK_1 as a singleton, and those Q not containing VK_1 as a singleton – hence VK_1 is added to some class in some partition P of VH .

This proves (22), which implies, setting $\gamma := M(G)$, that $\phi(G) = Z(K_1M(G))$, and hence $M(\phi(G)) = K_1M(G)$. Therefore with (21) we obtain

$$M(K_1G) = M(\phi(G)) - |VG|M(G) = (K_1 - |VG|)M(G). \quad \square \tag{24}$$

7. A lemma on the Möbius inverse function of partitions

For $P, Q \in \Pi_V$, let $P \vee Q$ denote the smallest (with respect to \leq) partition satisfying $P, Q \leq P \vee Q$.

Lemma 2. Let V be a set with $|V| = k$. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \geq 1$ one has

$$\sum_{P_1, \dots, P_t \in \Pi_V} \mu_{P_1} \cdots \mu_{P_t} x^{|P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_t|} = x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1). \tag{25}$$

Proof. Since both sides in (25) are polynomials, it suffices to prove it for $x \in \mathbb{N}$. For any function $\phi : [k] \rightarrow [x]$, let Q_ϕ be the partition

$$Q_\phi := \{\phi^{-1}(i) \mid i \in [x], \phi^{-1}(i) \neq \emptyset\}. \tag{26}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P_1, \dots, P_t \in \Pi_V} \mu_{P_1} \cdots \mu_{P_t} x^{|P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_t|} &= \sum_{P_1, \dots, P_t \in \Pi_V} \mu_{P_1} \cdots \mu_{P_t} \sum_{\substack{\phi: [k] \rightarrow [x] \\ P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_t \leq Q_\phi}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\phi: [k] \rightarrow [x]} \sum_{P_1, \dots, P_t \leq Q_\phi} \mu_{P_1} \cdots \mu_{P_t} = \sum_{\phi: [k] \rightarrow [x]} \left(\sum_{P \leq Q_\phi} \mu_P \right)^t \\ &= \sum_{\phi: [k] \rightarrow [x]} \delta_{Q_\phi, T_{[k]}} = \sum_{\phi: [k] \rightarrow [x]} 1 \\ &= x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1). \quad \square \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

8. Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1. Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $f = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if and only if f is multiplicative and

$$\sum_{P \in \Pi_{VG}} \mu_P f(G/P) = 0 \tag{28}$$

for each graph G with $|VG| > f(K_1)$.

Proof. To see necessity, suppose $f = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then trivially f is multiplicative. Moreover, $f(M(G)) = 0$ if $|VG| > f(K_1)$, since $f(K_1) = n$, and

$$f(M(G)) = p_n(M(G))(A) = q_n(G)(A) = 0, \tag{29}$$

as $q_n(G) = 0$ if $|VG| > n$ (since then there is no injective function $VG \rightarrow [n]$).

We next show sufficiency. First, $f(K_1)$ is a nonnegative integer. For if not, there is a nonnegative integer $k > f(K_1)$ with $\binom{f(K_1)}{k} \neq 0$. Let N_k be the graph with vertex set $[k]$ and no edges. Then, by the condition in Theorem 1,

$$0 = \sum_{P \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P f(N_k/P) = \sum_{P \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P f(K_1)^{|P|} = \binom{f(K_1)}{k} k! \tag{30}$$

(by Lemma 2), a contradiction. So $f(K_1) \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $n := f(K_1)$.

Next we show:

$$f = \hat{f} \circ p_n \quad \text{for some algebra homomorphism } \hat{f} : p_n(\mathcal{QG}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \tag{31}$$

We first show that there exists a linear function $\hat{f} : p_n(\mathcal{QG}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\hat{f} \circ p_n = f$. For this we must show that, for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{QG}$, if $p_n(\gamma) = 0$ then $f(\gamma) = 0$. Equivalently, if $p_n(M(\gamma)) = 0$ then $f(M(\gamma)) = 0$. That is, since $p_n \circ M = q_n$,

$$\text{if } q_n(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{then } f(M(\gamma)) = 0. \tag{32}$$

Suppose not. Choose γ with $q_n(\gamma) = 0$ and $f(M(\gamma)) \neq 0$, minimizing the sum of $|VG|$ over those graphs G with $\gamma_G \neq 0$. If $|VG| > n$, then $q_n(G) = 0$ and $f(M(G)) = 0$ (by assumption). So, by the minimality, if $\gamma_G \neq 0$, then $|VG| \leq n$.

Suppose next that some G with $\gamma_G \neq 0$ has an isolated vertex. So $G = K_1H$ for some graph H . Then $q_n(G) = (n - |VH|)q_n(H)$, by definition (19), and $f(M(G)) = (n - |VH|)f(M(H))$, by Lemma 1. So we could replace G in γ by $(n - |VH|)H$, contradicting our minimality condition.

Now for any graph G with $|VG| \leq n$, say $VG = [k]$, $q_n(G)$ is a scalar multiple of the polynomial $\sum_{\pi \in S_n} m^\pi$, where m is the monomial $\prod_{i,j \in EG} x_{i,j}$. If G and G' are such graphs and have no isolated vertices, these polynomials have no monomials in common. Since $q_n(\gamma) = 0$, this implies $\gamma = 0$, hence $f(M(\gamma)) = 0$, proving (32).

So there is a linear function $\hat{f} : p_n(\mathcal{QG}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\hat{f} \circ p_n = f$. Then \hat{f} is an algebra homomorphism, since

$$\hat{f}(p_n(\beta)p_n(\gamma)) = \hat{f}(p_n(\beta\gamma)) = f(\beta\gamma) = f(\beta)f(\gamma) = \hat{f}(p_n(\beta))\hat{f}(p_n(\gamma)) \tag{33}$$

for $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{QG}$. This proves (31).

Now let I be the following ideal in $p_n(\mathcal{QG})$:

$$I := \{p \in p_n(\mathcal{QG}) \mid \hat{f}(p) = 0\}. \tag{34}$$

Then the polynomials in I have a common zero. For if not, by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (saying that an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ not containing 1 has a common zero) there exist $r_1, \dots, r_k \in I$ and $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ with

$$r_1s_1 + \dots + r_ks_k = 1. \tag{35}$$

We can assume that the s_i in fact belong to $p_n(\mathcal{QG})$. For let σ be the Reynolds operator $\mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$, that is,

$$\sigma(p)(X) := n!^{-1} \sum_P p(P^T X P), \tag{36}$$

where P extends over the $n \times n$ permutation matrices. Then, since $r_i(P^T X P) = r_i(X)$ for each i and each P ,

$$1 = \sigma(r_1s_1 + \dots + r_ks_k) = r_1\sigma(s_1) + \dots + r_k\sigma(s_k). \tag{37}$$

So we can assume that $s_i = \sigma(s_i)$ for each i . Hence $s_i \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$. So, by Theorem 3, $s_i \in p_n(\mathcal{QG})$ for each i . As I is an ideal in $p_n(\mathcal{QG})$, this implies $1 \in I$. This however gives the contradiction $1 = f(K_0) = \hat{f}(p_n(K_0)) = \hat{f}(1) = 0$.

So the polynomials in I have a common zero, A say. Now for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, the polynomial $p_n(G) - f(G)$ belongs to I (where $f(G)$ is the constant polynomial with value $f(G)$). So $p_n(G)(A) - f(G) = 0$, that is $f_A(G) = f(G)$, as required. \square

9. Derivation of Corollary 1a from Theorem 1

Corollary 1a. *Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if and only if the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and moreover the matrix*

$$L_f := \left(f(K_2^{i+j}) \right)_{i,j=0}^{\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)} \tag{38}$$

is positive semidefinite, where $m := \lfloor f(K_1) \rfloor$.

Proof. For necessity it suffices (in view of Theorem 1) to show that L_f is positive semidefinite. Suppose $f = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some symmetric matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then

$$(L_f)_{k,l} = f(K_2^{k+l}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^{k+l}. \tag{39}$$

So L_f is positive semidefinite.

We next show sufficiency. By Theorem 1 we know that $f = f_A$ for some n and some symmetric matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. We prove that A is real.

Suppose A is not real, say $a_{i',j'} \notin \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ of degree at most $t := \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ such that $p(a_{i',j'}) = i$, $p(\bar{a}_{i',j'}) = -i$, and $p(a_{i,j}) = 0$ for all i, j with $a_{i,j} \notin \{a_{i',j'}, \bar{a}_{i',j'}\}$.² Note that this implies $\bar{p}(a_{i',j'}) = \overline{p(\bar{a}_{i',j'})} = i$ and $\bar{p}(\bar{a}_{i',j'}) = \overline{p(a_{i',j'})} = -i$.

Write $p = \sum_{k=0}^t p_k x^k$ and $q := (p_0, \dots, p_t)^T$. Then

$$q^T L_f q = \sum_{k,l=0}^t p_k \bar{p}_l f(K_2^{k+l}) = \sum_{k,l=0}^t p_k \bar{p}_l \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}^{k+l} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n p(a_{i,j}) \bar{p}(a_{i,j}) < 0. \tag{40}$$

This contradicts the positive semidefiniteness of L_f . \square

10. Derivation of Corollary 1b from Corollary 1a

Corollary 1b. *Let $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = f_A$ for some n and some symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ if and only if f is multiplicative and $M_{f,k}$ is positive semidefinite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. To see necessity it suffices to show that $M_{f_A,k}$ is positive semidefinite for each symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{f_A,k})_{(G,u),(H,w)} &= f_A((G,u) * (H,w)) \\ &= \sum_{\chi: [k] \rightarrow [n]} \left(\sum_{\substack{\phi: VG \rightarrow [n] \\ \forall j \in [k]: \phi(u_j) = \chi(j)}} \prod_{uv \in EG} a_{\phi(u), \phi(v)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{\psi: VH \rightarrow [n] \\ \forall j \in [k]: \psi(w_j) = \chi(j)}} \prod_{uv \in EH} a_{\psi(u), \psi(v)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

for k -labeled graphs $(G,u), (H,w)$. So $M_{f_A,k}$ is a Gram matrix, hence positive semidefinite.

² Observe the typographical difference between i (the imaginary unit) and i (an index).

To see sufficiency, if $M_{f,2}$ is positive semidefinite, then L_f is positive semidefinite. Indeed,

$$(L_f)_{k,l} = f(K_2^{k+l}) = f((K_2^k, (1, 2)) * (K_2^l, (1, 2))) = (M_{f,2})_{(K_2^k, (1, 2)), (K_2^l, (1, 2))}. \quad (42)$$

So the positive semidefiniteness of $M_{f,2}$ implies that of L_f .

We finally show that if $|VG| > f(K_1)$ then $f(M(G)) = 0$. First choose any $k > f(K_1)$. Let N_k be the graph with vertex set $[k]$ and no edges. For any partition P of $[k]$, let $P(i)$ denote the class of P containing i (for $i \in [k]$), and let

$$u_P := (P(1), \dots, P(k)). \quad (43)$$

So $u_P \in V(N_k/P)^k$, and hence $(N_k/P, u_P)$ is a k -labeled graph. Then

$$\sum_{P, Q \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P \mu_Q f((N_k/P, u_P) * (N_k/Q, u_Q)) = \sum_{P, Q \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P \mu_Q f(K_1)^{|P \vee Q|} = \binom{f(K_1)}{k} k!, \quad (44)$$

by Lemma 2. Hence, since $M_{f,k}$ is positive semidefinite, $\binom{f(K_1)}{k} \geq 0$, and therefore, as this holds for each $k > f(K_1)$, we have $f(K_1) \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for $k > f(K_1)$, $\binom{f(K_1)}{k} = 0$, and so (44) implies

$$\sum_{P, Q \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P \mu_Q f((N_k/P, u_P) * (N_k/Q, u_Q)) = 0. \quad (45)$$

Consider now any graph G with $k := |VG| > n$ vertices, say $VG = [k]$. Define $u := (1, \dots, k)$. Then by the positive semidefiniteness of $M_{f,k}$, (45) implies

$$0 = \sum_{P \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P f((N_k/P, u_P) * (G, u)) = \sum_{P \in \Pi_{[k]}} \mu_P f(G/P) = f(M(G)). \quad (46)$$

So $f(M(G)) = 0$, as required. \square

11. Derivation of Corollary 1c from Corollary 1b

Corollary 1c. Let $f : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = \text{hom}(\cdot, H)$ for some $H \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ if and only if f is multiplicative and $\tilde{M}_{f,k}$ is positive semidefinite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Necessity is shown as before. Sufficiency is derived from Corollary 1b as follows.

Let $f : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be multiplicative with $\tilde{M}_{f,k}$ positive semidefinite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\hat{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\hat{f}(G) := f(\tilde{G})$, for $G \in \mathcal{G}$, where \tilde{G} arises from G by replacing parallel edges by one edge. Then \hat{f} is multiplicative and the matrix $M_{\hat{f},k}$ is positive semidefinite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence by Corollary 1b, $\hat{f} = f_A$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$. Now it suffices to show that all entries of A belong to $\{0, 1\}$, since then A is the adjacency matrix of a graph H , implying $f_A(G) = \text{hom}(G, H)$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

Now, as $f_A = \hat{f}$, we know $f_A(K_2^t) = f_A(K_2^1)$ for each $t \geq 1$. So $\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}^t = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}$ for each $t \geq 1$. Hence $\sum_{i,j} (a_{i,j}^2 - a_{i,j})^2 = \sum_{i,j} (a_{i,j}^4 - 2a_{i,j}^3 + a_{i,j}^2) = 0$. Therefore $a_{i,j}^2 - a_{i,j} = 0$ for all i, j . So $a_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i, j . \square

12. Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $A' \in \mathbb{C}^{n' \times n'}$ be symmetric matrices. Then $f_A = f_{A'}$ if and only if $n = n'$ and $A' = P^T A P$ for some $n \times n$ permutation matrix P .

Proof. Sufficiency being direct, we prove necessity. Suppose $f_A = f_{A'}$. Then $n = n'$, since $n = f_A(K_1) = f_{A'}(K_1) = n'$.

Let Π denote the collection of $n \times n$ permutation matrices. Suppose $A' \neq P^T A P$ for all $P \in \Pi$. Then the sets

$$Y := \{P^T A P \mid P \in \Pi\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y' := \{P^T A' P \mid P \in \Pi\} \quad (47)$$

are disjoint finite subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Hence there is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $p(X) = 0$ for each $X \in Y$ and $p(X) = 1$ for each $X \in Y'$. Let q be the polynomial

$$q(X) := n!^{-1} \sum_{P \in \Pi} p(P^T X P). \quad (48)$$

So $q \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{S_n}$. Hence, by Theorem 3, $q \in p_n(\mathcal{QG})$, say $q = p_n(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{QG}$. This gives the contradiction

$$0 = q(A) = p_n(\gamma)(A) = f_A(\gamma) = f_{A'}(\gamma) = p_n(\gamma)(A') = q(A') = 1. \quad \square \quad (49)$$

Acknowledgments

I thank Monique Laurent and Laci Lovász for useful discussions and the referee for helpful suggestions as to the presentation.

References

- [1] P. de la Harpe, V.F.R. Jones, Graph invariants related to statistical mechanical models: examples and problems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 57 (1993) 207–227.
- [2] M.H. Freedman, L. Lovász, A. Schrijver, Reflection positivity, rank connectivity, and homomorphisms of graphs, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (2007) 37–51.
- [3] L. Lovász, A. Schrijver, Dual graph homomorphism functions, preprint, 2008.
- [4] L. Lovász, A. Schrijver, Semidefinite functions on categories, preprint, 2008.
- [5] L. Lovász, B. Szegedy, Limits of dense graph sequences, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 96 (2006) 933–957.
- [6] B. Szegedy, Edge coloring models and reflection positivity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (2007) 969–988.