

---

---

# Nash Equilibria and Pareto Efficient Outcomes

Krzysztof R. Apt

*CWI, Amsterdam, the Netherlands,  
University of Amsterdam*

---

# Basic Concepts

- Best response.
- Nash equilibrium.
- Pareto efficient outcomes.
- Social welfare.
- Social optima.
- Examples.

# Strategic Games: Definition

---

Strategic game for  $n \geq 2$  players:

- (possibly infinite) set  $S_i$  of strategies,
- payoff function  $p_i : S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

for each player  $i$ .

Basic assumptions:

- players choose their strategies simultaneously,
- each player is rational: his objective is to maximize his payoff,
- players have common knowledge of the game and of each others' rationality.

# Three Examples

## Prisoner's Dilemma

	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
<i>C</i>	2, 2	0, 3
<i>D</i>	3, 0	1, 1

## The Battle of the Sexes

	<i>F</i>	<i>B</i>
<i>F</i>	2, 1	0, 0
<i>B</i>	0, 0	1, 2

## Matching Pennies

	<i>H</i>	<i>T</i>
<i>H</i>	1, -1	-1, 1
<i>T</i>	-1, 1	1, -1

# Main Concepts

● **Notation:**  $s_i, s'_i \in S_i, s, s', (s_i, s_{-i}) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ .

●  $s_i$  is a **best response** to  $s_{-i}$  if

$$\forall s'_i \in S_i \quad p_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \geq p_i(s'_i, s_{-i}).$$

●  $s$  is a **Nash equilibrium** if  $\forall i$   $s_i$  is a best response to  $s_{-i}$ :

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \forall s'_i \in S_i \quad p_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \geq p_i(s'_i, s_{-i}).$$

●  $s$  is **Pareto efficient** if for no  $s'$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad p_i(s') &\geq p_i(s), \\ \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad p_i(s') &> p_i(s). \end{aligned}$$

● **Social welfare** of  $s$ :  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j(s)$ .

●  $s$  is a **social optimum** if  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j(s)$  is maximal.

# Nash Equilibrium

**Prisoner's Dilemma:** 1 Nash equilibrium

	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
<i>C</i>	2, 2	0, 3
<i>D</i>	3, 0	1, 1

**The Battle of the Sexes:** 2 Nash equilibria

	<i>F</i>	<i>B</i>
<i>F</i>	2, 1	0, 0
<i>B</i>	0, 0	1, 2

**Matching Pennies:** no Nash equilibrium

	<i>H</i>	<i>T</i>
<i>H</i>	1, -1	-1, 1
<i>T</i>	-1, 1	1, -1

# Prisoner's Dilemma

---

	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
<i>C</i>	2, 2	0, 3
<i>D</i>	3, 0	1, 1

- 1 Nash equilibrium:  $(D, D)$ ,
- 3 Pareto efficient outcomes:  $(C, C)$ ,  $(C, D)$ ,  $(D, C)$ ,
- 1 social optimum:  $(C, C)$ .



# Prisoner's Dilemma for $n$ Players

- $n > 1$  players,
- two strategies:  
1 (formerly  $C$ ),  
0 (formerly  $D$ ).

$$p_i(s) := \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{j \neq i} s_j + 1 & \text{if } s_i = 0 \\ 2 \sum_{j \neq i} s_j & \text{if } s_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

- For  $n = 2$  we get the original Prisoner's Dilemma game.
- Let  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ .
- $\mathbf{0}$  is the unique Nash equilibrium, with social welfare  $n$ .
- Social optimum:  $\mathbf{1}$ , with social welfare  $2n(n - 1)$ .

# Tragedy of the Commons

---

- **Common resources**: goods that are not *excludable* (people cannot be prevented from using them) but are *rival* (one person's use of them diminishes another person's enjoyment of it).
- **Examples**: congested toll-free roads, fish in the ocean, the environment, . . . ,
- **Problem**: Overuse of such common resources leads to their destruction.
- This phenomenon is called the **tragedy of the commons** (Hardin '81).

# Tragedy of the Commons I

(Gardner '95)

- $n > 1$  players,
- two strategies:  
1 (use the resource),  
0 (don't use),
- payoff function:

$$p_i(s) := \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } s_i = 0 \\ F(m)/m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $m = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j$  and

$$F(m) := 1.1m - 0.1m^2.$$

# Tragedy of the Commons I, ctd

- payoff function:

$$p_i(s) := \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } s_i = 0 \\ F(m)/m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $m = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j$  and  $F(m) := 1.1m - 0.1m^2$ .

- Note:  $F(m)/m$  is strictly decreasing,  
 $F(9)/9 = 0.2$ ,  $F(10)/10 = 0.1$ ,  $F(11)/11 = 0$ .
- Nash equilibria:  
 $n < 10$ : all players use the resource,  
 $n \geq 10$ : 9 or 10 players use the resource,
- Social optimum: 5 players use the resource.

# Tragedy of the Commons II

---

(Osborne '04)

- $n > 1$  players,
- strategies:  $[0, 1]$ ,
- payoff function:

$$p_i(s) := \begin{cases} s_i(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j) & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Tragedy of the Commons II, ctd

- payoff function:

$$p_i(s) := \begin{cases} s_i(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j) & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- 'Best' Nash equilibrium:

when each  $s_i = \frac{1}{n+1}$ ,

with social welfare  $\frac{n}{(n+1)^2}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n s_j = \frac{n}{n+1}$ .

- Social optimum, when  $\sum_{j=1}^n s_j = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

with social welfare  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

- For all  $n > 1$ ,  $\frac{n}{(n+1)^2} < \frac{1}{4}$ .

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{(n+1)^2} = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n+1} = 1$ .