Point process

A point process X on $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a **random mechanism** for generating locally finite point patterns in W.

This week, we shall consider simple examples of such mechanisms:

- Uniformly random point;
- Binomial point process;
- Poisson process.

Finite point process

To define a finite point process, one must specify

- a probability mass function $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ for the total number of points;
- a family of symmetric joint probability densities

 $j_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n),$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$, on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^n$ for the locations of the points given that there are n of them.

Uniformly random point – definition

Let W be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with area |W| > 0. Set

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = 1, \\ p_n = 0 \text{ for } n \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$j_1(x) = \frac{1}{|W|}.$$

Uniformly random point on rectangle

If $W = [0, a] \times [0, b]$, a, b > 0, is a rectangle, write (X, Y) for the coordinates.

Then, for $x \in [0, a]$, $y \in [0, b]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le x; Y \le y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{1}{ab} du dv = \frac{xy}{ab}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(X \le x) \mathbb{P}(Y \le y).$$

Hence X and Y are independent and uniformly distributed on, respectively, [0, a] and [0, b].

Uniformly random point on disc

If W = B(0,t), t > 0, is a disc, write (R, Φ) for the polar coordinates.

Then, for $r \in [0, t]$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(R \le r; \Phi \le \phi) = \int_0^r \int_0^\phi \frac{1}{\pi t^2} s ds d\psi = \frac{r^2}{t^2} \frac{\phi}{2\pi}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(R \le r) \mathbb{P}(\Phi \le \phi).$$

Hence R and Φ are independent, Φ is uniformly distributed on $[0, 2\pi)$ and R^2 is uniformly distributed on $[0, t^2]$.

Uniformly random point – restriction property

Suppose that $B \subset A$, 0 < |B|, $|A| < \infty$, and consider uniformly random points X_A on A and X_B on B. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_A \in C | X_A \in B) = \mathbb{P}(X_B \in C)$$

for all $C \subset B$.

Proof: Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_A \in D) = \int_D \frac{1}{|A|} dx = \frac{|D|}{|A|}$$

for all $D \subset A$. Hence for $C \subset B \subset A$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_A \in C | X_A \in B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_A \in C; X_A \in B)}{\mathbb{P}(X_A \in B)}$$
$$= \frac{|C \cap B|/|A|}{|B|/|A|} = \frac{|C|}{|B|} = \mathbb{P}(X_B \in C).$$

6

Uniformly random point – composition property

Suppose that $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ and consider a uniformly random point X_A on A.

Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_A \in A_i) = \frac{|A_i|}{|A|}.$$

Simulation

In **spatstat**, the command

```
runifpoint(n, win, nsim=1)
```

generates n independent uniformly random points in the window win.

As we saw, this is easy for **circular** and **rectangular** windows. For complex windows, the function samples in a rectangle that contains the window and uses the **restriction** property.

For windows that consist of several connected components, use the **composition** property. Examples – rectangle and disc



$$n = 100$$

Examples – complex window



Binomial point process

Let W be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with area |W| > 0. Let, for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, X_1, \ldots, X_n be n independent uniformly random points. Then

$$X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

is the **binomial point process** with

$$\begin{cases} p_n = 1, \\ p_m = 0 \text{ for } m \neq n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$j_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{|W|}\right)^n$$

11

Binomial point process – void probability

For $A \subset W$ and $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(N_X(A) = k) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{|A|}{|W|}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|W|}\right)^{n-k}$$

since a uniformly random point X_i has probability |A|/|W| of falling in A.

In particular, for k = 0, we obtain the **void probability**

$$v(A) = \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|W|}\right)^n$$

٠

Binomial point process – intensity function

Let X be a binomial point process with n points on W. Then, for $A \subset W$,

$$\mathbb{E}N_X(A) = \frac{n|A|}{|W|} = \int_A \frac{n}{|W|} dx.$$

Hence the intensity function

$$\rho^{(1)}(x) = \frac{n}{|W|}$$

does not depend on $x \in W$.

Binomial point process – 2nd moment measure

Let $X = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\} \subset W$ be a binomial point process.

Then, for $A, B \subset W$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[N_X(A)N_X(B)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}\left\{X_i \in A; X_j \in B\right\}\right]$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j\neq i}\mathbb{P}(X_i\in A;X_j\in B)+\sum_{i=1}^n\mathbb{P}(X_i\in A\cap B).$$

Since the X_i are independent,

$$\mu^{(2)}(A \times B) = n(n-1)\frac{|A||B|}{|W|^2} + n\frac{|A \cap B|}{|W|}.$$

Binomial point process – pair correlation function

The second order factorial moment measure

$$\alpha^{(2)}(A \times B) = \mu^{(2)}(A \times B) - \alpha^{(1)}(A \cap B)$$

equals

$$n(n-1)\frac{|A||B|}{|W|^2} = \int_A \int_B \frac{n(n-1)}{|W|^2} \, dx \, dy$$

hence

$$\rho^{(2)}(x,y) = \frac{n(n-1)}{|W|^2}$$

and

$$g(x,y) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(x,y)}{\rho^{(1)}(x)\rho^{(1)}(y)} = \frac{n-1}{n} < 1.$$

15

CSR – Complete Spatial Randomness

A simple point process satisfies CSR if the following hold:

- 1. The points have no preference for any spatial location (homogeneity).
- 2. Information about the outcome in one region has no influence on that in other regions (**independence**).

Binomial point process and CSR

The binomial point process X does not satisfy the **in-dependence** assumption. Indeed, for $n \neq 0$ and $A \subset W$ such that 0 < |A| < |W|,

$$\mathbb{P}(N_X(A) = n; N_X(W \setminus A) = 0) = \left(\frac{|A|}{|W|}\right)^n$$

but

$$\mathbb{P}(N_X(A) = n)\mathbb{P}(N_X(W \setminus A) = 0) = \left(\frac{|A|}{|W|}\right)^{2n}$$

Limit of binomial point processes

Let $B_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a series of growing balls centred at the origin such that $n/|B_n| \equiv \lambda$ is constant $(0 < \lambda < \infty)$.

Then any bounded set A is covered by B_n for n sufficiently large, and, in this case, for $k \leq n$,

$$\mathbb{P}^{(n)}(N(A)=k) = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^{n-k},$$

writing $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ for the distribution of the binomial point process of n points in B_n .

Claim: For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}^{(n)}(N(A) = k) \to e^{-\lambda|A|} (\lambda|A|)^k / k!$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: If $n \ge k$ and $A \subset B_n$, then

$$\binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^{n-k} = \frac{|A|^k}{k!} \times \frac{n}{|B_n|} \frac{n-1}{|B_n|} \cdots \frac{n-k+1}{|B_n|} \times \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^{n-k}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{n}{|B_n|} \to \lambda; \quad \frac{n-k+1}{|B_n|} \to \lambda$$

and

$$\left(1-\frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^{n-k} = \left(1-\frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^{|B_n|(n-k)/|B_n|} \to \exp[-\lambda|A|].$$

Limit of binomial point processes (ctd)

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, take $n \ge k + l$ so large that $A \cup B \subset B_n$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}^{(n)}(N(A) = k; N(B) = l) =$$
$$\binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{|A|}{|B_n|}\right)^k \binom{n-k}{l} \left(\frac{|B|}{|B_n|}\right)^l \left(1 - \frac{|A \cup B|}{|B_n|}\right)^{n-k-l}$$

which, as $n \to \infty$, tends to

$$e^{-\lambda|A|} \frac{(\lambda|A|)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda|B|} \frac{(\lambda|B|)^l}{l!}.$$

In the limit, $N_X(A)$ and $N_X(B)$ are independent.

The homogeneous Poisson process

A point process X on \mathbb{R}^2 is a **homogeneous Poisson** process with intensity $\lambda > 0$ if

- $N_X(A)$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\lambda|A|$ for every bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$;
- for any k disjoint bounded sets A_1, \ldots, A_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the random variables $N_X(A_1), \ldots, N_X(A_k)$ are independent.

The process therefore satisfies **CSR**.

Poisson process – conditionally independent points

Let X be a homogeneous Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^2 with intensity $\lambda > 0$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ bounded with |A| > 0.

Claim: Conditionally on the event $\{N_X(A) = n\}$, X restricted to A is a binomial point process of n points.

Proof

Clearly, conditionally on $N_X(A) = n$, $p_n = 1$ and $p_m = 0$ for $m \neq n$.

Fix $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$ and set $B_i = B(x_i, \epsilon)$ for ϵ small enough to make the B_i disjoint. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(N_X(B_1) = 1; \dots; N_X(B_n) = 1 | N_X(A) = n)$$

= $\frac{\mathbb{P}(N_X(B_1) = 1; \dots; N_X(B_n) = 1; N_X(A \setminus \cup B_i) = 0)}{\mathbb{P}(N_X(A) = n)}$

Using the two defining properties, we get

$$\frac{\lambda|B_1|e^{-\lambda|B_1|}\cdots\lambda|B_n|e^{-\lambda|B_n|}e^{-\lambda|A\setminus\cup B_i|}}{\lambda^n|A|^ne^{-\lambda|A|}/n!} = \frac{n!}{|A|^n}\prod_{i=1}^n |B_i|.$$

Upon dividing by n!, the number of permutations of n points, in an infinitesimal sense, the probability that X_1 falls in dx_1 , X_2 in dx_2, \ldots is

$$j_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n|N_X(A)=n)dx_1\ldots dx_n=\frac{1}{|A|^n}dx_1\ldots dx_n,$$

the scatter density of a binomial point process on A.

The homogeneous Poisson process – properties

Since $N_X(A)$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\lambda|A|$, the **void probability** of A is

$$v(A) = \exp\left[-\lambda|A|\right]$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}N_X(A) = \int_A \lambda dx.$$

The intensity function

$$\rho^{(1)}(x) = \lambda$$

is constant.

Poisson process – 2nd order moment measure

Let X be a homogeneous Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^2 .

For bounded $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, conditionally on $N_X(A \cup B) = n$, $\mathbb{E}[N_X(A)N_X(B)|N_X(A \cup B) = n]$

$$= n(n-1)\frac{|A||B|}{|A \cup B|^2} + n\frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

Recalling $\mathbb{E}N_X(A \cup B) = \lambda |A \cup B|$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[N_X(A \cup B)(N_X(A \cup B) - 1)\right] = (\lambda |A \cup B|)^2$$

we get

$$\mu^{(2)}(A \times B) = \lambda^2 |A| |B| + \lambda |A \cap B|.$$

Poisson process – pair correlation function

The second order factorial moment measure

$$\alpha^{(2)}(A \times B) = \mu^{(2)}(A \times B) - \alpha^{(1)}(A \cap B)$$

equals

$$\int_A \int_B \lambda^2 dx dy$$

hence $\rho^{(2)}(x,y) = \lambda^2$ and

$$g(x,y) = 1$$

regardless of x, y.

Simulation



rpoispp(lambda=100, win=square(1), nsim=1)

Monte Carlo testing

To test whether the points of data pattern $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset W$ are scattered independently and uniformly:

- choose some statistic V;
- calculate $V_1 = V(X_1), \ldots, V_{n-1} = V(X_{n-1})$ for n-1independent binomial point processes X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} on W, each having m points;
- calculate $V_n = V(\mathbf{x})$;
- if V_n is among the k most extreme values, reject the null hypothesis.

Monte Carlo testing – remarks

- The level of this test is k/n.
- The procedure is valid since under the null hypothesis any permutation of the V_i is equally likely.
- The test conditions on the number of points.
- One-sided tests for clustering/inhibition, or twosided tests both apply.
- The test may be conservative, that is, have low power (Myllymäki et al., 2017).

Point process statistics – K-function

For
$$r \ge 0$$
,
$$K(r) = \int_{B(0,r)} g(0,z) dz.$$

Under the null hypothesis, for r such that $B(0,r) \subset W$,

$$K(r) = \int_{B(0,r)} \frac{m-1}{m} dz = \frac{m-1}{m} \pi r^2;$$

for a Poisson process with intensity λ

$$K(r) = \int_{B(0,r)} 1dz = \pi r^2.$$

30

Estimating the K-function

Let X be a stationary point process, \mathbf{x} a realisation in W. Then

$$\widehat{\lambda^2 K(r)} = \lambda^2 \widehat{K(r; \mathbf{x})} = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{x}} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{x}}^{\neq} \frac{1\{||y - x|| \leq r\}}{|W \cap W_{y - x}|}$$

is an unbiased estimator of $\lambda^2 K(r)$.

For a homogeneous Poisson process,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{N_X(W)(N_X(W)-1)}{|W|^2}\right] = \frac{\alpha^{(2)}(W\times W)}{|W|^2} = \lambda^2$$
so

$$\frac{n(\mathbf{x})(n(\mathbf{x})-1)}{|W|^2}$$

is an unbiased estimator for λ^2 .

31

Proof

If \boldsymbol{X} is stationary, by the Campbell–Mecke formula,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{x\in X\cap W}\sum_{y\in X\cap W}^{\neq} \frac{1\{||y-x||\leq r\}}{|W\cap W_{y-x}|}\right] = \int_{W}\int_{W}\frac{1\{||y-x||\leq r\}}{|W\cap W_{y-x}|}\rho^{(2)}(x,y)dxdy.$$

By stationarity, $\rho^{(2)}(x,y) = \rho^{(2)}(0,y-x)$ and substituting z = y - x gives

$$\int_{W} \left(\int_{W-x} \frac{1\{||z|| \leq r\}}{|W \cap W_z|} \rho^{(2)}(0,z) dz \right) dx$$

which is equal to

$$\int_{B(0,r)} \left(\int_{W \cap W_{-z}} \frac{1}{|W \cap W_z|} dx \right) \rho^{(2)}(0,z) dz = \int_{B(0,r)} \lambda^2 g(0,z) dz = \lambda^2 K(r)$$

after changing the order of integration.



Kest(redwood, correction="translate")
envelope(redwood, fun=Kest, correction="translate",
 nsim=99, nrank=5)

Note: two.sided so level of pointwise test is 10/100.

Point process statistics – F-function

Define the empty space function as

$$F(r) = \mathbb{P}(d(0, X) \le r), \quad r \ge 0.$$

If X is stationary, the definition does not depend on the choice of origin.

Under the null hypothesis, for r such that $B(0,r) \subset W$,

$$F(r) = 1 - v(B(0, r)) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\pi r^2}{|W|}\right)^m;$$

for a Poisson process with intensity λ

$$F(r) = 1 - v(B(0, r)) = 1 - \exp\left[-\lambda \pi r^2\right]$$

Estimating empty space function – edge effects



In general, $d(l, X) \neq d(l, X \cap W)$.

Estimating the empty space function

Let X be a stationary point process, x a realisation in W, and $L \subset W$ a lattice of points. Write $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ for the Euclidean distance.

For $r \geq 0$,

$$\widehat{F(r)} = \widehat{F(r;\mathbf{x})} = \sum_{l \in L} \frac{1\{d(l,\mathbf{x}) \le r; d(l,W^c) \ge d(l,\mathbf{x})\}}{\#\{\tilde{l} \in L : d(\tilde{l},W^c) \ge d(l,\mathbf{x})\}}$$

(0/0 = 0) is an unbiased estimator (Chiu and Stoyan, 1998).

Crucial observation:

$$d(l, X \cap W) \le d(l, W^c) \Rightarrow d(l, X \cap W) = d(l, X).$$

Proof

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{l\in L} \frac{1\{d(l, X\cap W) \le r; d(l, W^c) \ge d(l, X\cap W)\}}{\#\{\tilde{l}\in L: d(\tilde{l}, W^c) \ge d(l, X\cap W)\}}\right]$$

= $\sum_{l\in L} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1\{d(l, X) \le r; d(l, W^c) \ge d(l, X\cap W) = d(l, X)\}}{\#\{\tilde{l}\in L: d(\tilde{l}, W^c) \ge d(l, X)\}}\right]$
= $\sum_{l\in L} \int_0^r \frac{1\{d(l, W^c) \ge s\}}{\#\{\tilde{l}\in L: d(\tilde{l}, W^c) \ge s\}} dF(s) = F(r).$

Example: Redwood data



Fest(redwood, correction="cs", r=seq(0,0.2,by=0.001))
envelope(redwood, fun=Fest, correction="cs",
 nsim=99, nrank=5)

Deviation test

The K- or F-function may be used in a Monte Carlo test.

•
$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{K}(r_0; \mathbf{x})$$
 for a **fixed** $r_0 > 0;$

•
$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{r \le r_0} |\widehat{K(r; \mathbf{x})} - \pi r^2|$$

or

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{r_0} \{\widehat{K(r; \mathbf{x})} - \pi r^2\}^2 dr.$$

39

Max absolute deviation test and global envelopes

The Monte Carlo test with

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{r \le r_0} |\widehat{K(r; \mathbf{x})} - \pi r^2|$$

is implemented in **spatstat:**

mad.test(redwood, fun=Kest, correction="translate",
 nsim=19)

A graphical illustration is to plot envelopes with a constant width equal to twice $\max\{V(X_i) : i = 1, ..., n-1\}$:

envelope(redwood, fun=Kest, correction="translate",
 nsim=99, nrank=5, global=TRUE)

Example: Redwood data



Middle: local envelope; Right: global envelope.

CSR is rejected at level nrank / (nsim + 1) = 5%.

Inhomogeneous Poisson process

Suppose that counts in disjoint sets are independent and for every bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $N_X(A)$ is Poisson distributed with mean

$$\wedge(A) = \int_A \lambda(x) dx$$

for some integrable function $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0, \infty)$.

Then *X* is a Poisson process with **intensity function** λ .

Inhomogeneous Poisson process – moments

Let X be a Poisson process with intensity function $\lambda(\cdot)$. Then

$$\rho^{(1)}(x) = \lambda(x)$$

and

$$\rho^{(2)}(x,y) = \lambda(x)\lambda(y).$$

Consequently

g(x,y) = 1.

43

Proof

Since $N_X(A)$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\Lambda(A)$,

$$\alpha^{(1)}(A) = \mathbb{E}N_X(A) = \Lambda(A) = \int_A \lambda(x) dx,$$

and $\rho^{(1)}(x) = \lambda(x)$.

For $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\mu^{(2)}(A \times B) = \mathbb{E}\left[N_X(A)N_X(B)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\{N_X(A \cap B) + N_X(A \setminus B)\}\{N_X(A \cap B) + N_X(B \setminus A)\}\right].$$

Since counts in disjoint sets are independent and Poisson distributed, $\mu^{(2)}(A \times B)$ is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[N_X(A \cap B)^2\right] + \Lambda(A \cap B)\Lambda(B \setminus A) + \Lambda(A \setminus B)\left[\Lambda(A \cap B) + \Lambda(B \setminus A)\right]$$
$$= \Lambda(A \cap B)^2 + \Lambda(A \cap B) + \Lambda(A \cap B)\Lambda(B \setminus A) + \Lambda(A \setminus B)\Lambda(B)$$
$$= \Lambda(A \cap B)\Lambda(B) + \Lambda(A \cap B) + \Lambda(A \setminus B)\Lambda(B) = \Lambda(A)\Lambda(B) + \Lambda(A \cap B).$$

The claim follows upon recalling that

$$\mu^{(2)}(A \times B) = \alpha^{(2)}(A \times B) + \alpha^{(1)}(A \cap B).$$

Example: Linear trend

$$\lambda(x,y) = 250x, \quad (x,y) \in [0,1]^2$$

is implemented by

```
lambda1 <- function(x,y)
{
    return( 250 * x )
}</pre>
```

Example: Radial trend

$$\lambda(x,y) = 1000 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{(x-1/2)^2 + (y-1/2)^2} \right),$$

$$(x,y) \in \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (u-1/2)^2 + (v-1/2)^2 \le 1/4\}$$

is implemented by

```
lambda2 <- function(x,y)
{
    dist <- sqrt( ( x - 0.5 )^2 + ( y - 0.5 )^2 )
    return ( 1000 * ( 0.5 - dist ) )
}</pre>
```

Examples



Implementation

```
X2 <- rpoispp(lambda=lambda2, lmax=500,
win=disc(radius=1/2, centre=c(1/2, 1/2)))
```

lmax, the maximal value of the intensity function is optional, but results in faster simulation.

Instead of a function, a pixel image may be used for lambda.

Poisson process – thinning property

Let X be a homogeneous Poisson process on the bounded window W with intensity L and λ a function such that

$$0 \leq \lambda(x, y) \leq L.$$

Construct the point process Y by retaining each point of X with probability

$$rac{\lambda(x,y)}{L}$$

independently of other points.

Claim: *Y* is a Poisson process with intensity function λ .

Proof

Counts in disjoint sets are independent by construction.

We show that $N_Y(A)$ is Poisson distributed by conditioning on $N_X(A)$. Indeed, since $N_X(A)$ is Poisson distributed with mean L|A|, and conditionally on $N_X(A) = k$, the points of X are uniformly scattered in A before being subjected to thinning,

$$\mathbb{P}(N_{Y}(A) = n) = \\ = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-L|A|} (L|A|)^{k}}{k!} \frac{1}{|A|^{k}} {k \choose n} \int_{A} \cdots \int_{A} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda(x_{i})}{L} \prod_{i=n+1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(x_{i})}{L}\right) dx_{1} \dots dx_{k} \\ = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-L|A|}}{k!} {k \choose n} (L|A| - \Lambda(A))^{k-n} \Lambda(A)^{n} = e^{-L|A|} \frac{\Lambda(A)^{n}}{n!} e^{L|A| - \Lambda|A|},$$

where

$$\wedge(A) = \int_A \lambda(x) dx.$$

References

1. J. Besag and P.J. Diggle. Simple Monte Carlo tests for spatial pattern. *Applied Statistics* 26:327–333, 1977.

2. S.N. Chiu and D. Stoyan. Estimators of distance distributions for spatial patterns. *Statistica Neerlandica* 52:239–246, 1998.

3. M. Myllymäki, T. Mrkvička, P. Grabarnik, H. Seijo and U. Hahn. Global envelope tests for spatial processes. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 79:381– 404, 2017.

4. J.F.C. Kingman. Poisson processes. Clarendon, 1993.

Assessment

The R-package **spatstat** contains a number of mapped patterns of pine trees.

For each of finpines, japanesepines, longleaf and swedishpines, test whether the CSR assumptions are satisfied.

Discuss and interpret your results!