# Lecture 3. Relation with Information Theory and Symmetry of Information

- Shannon entropy of random variable X over sample space S: H(X) = ∑ P(X=x) log 1/P(X=x),
  - the sum taken over x in S.

Interpretation: H(X) bits are necessary on P- average to describe the outcome x in a prefix-free code.

Example. For P is uniform over finite S, we have  $H(X)=\sum (1/|S|)\log |S| = \log |S|$ .

- C(x), the Kolmogorov complexity, is the minimum description (smallest program) for one fixed x. It is a beautiful fact that H(X) and the P-expectation of C(x) converge to the same thing.
  - The expected complexity and symmetry of information are examples that the two concepts approximately coincide.

# Prefix (free) codes and the ubiquitous Kraft Inequality

Prefix (free) code is a code such that no code word is a proper prefix of another one.
Example: 1, 01, 000, 001 with length set I\_1=1, I\_2=2, I\_3=3, I\_4=3.

Kraft Inequality:

$$\sum_{x} 2^{-l_{x}} \le 1.$$
 (\*)

(a) (\*) holds for  $\{l_x\}$  is the length set of a prefix code.

(b) If  $\{l_x\}$  satisfies (\*) then  $\exists$  prefix code with that length set.

**Proof.** Consider binary rooted tree with 0 labeling left edge and 1 labeling right edge. The prefix code words are leafs labeled with the concatenated labels of the edges on path from root to leaf. The code word length is # edges. Put weight 1 on root, weight  $\frac{1}{2}$  on each of its sons,  $\frac{1}{4}$  on each of their sons, ... So the prefix code leaves x have weight  $2^{-1}x$ , and the sum of the weights  $\leq 1$ .

# Kullback-Leibler Divergence

- The KL-divergence between two probability mass functions P and Q is
- $\square D(P \| Q) = \sum P(x) \log (P(x)/Q(x)).$ 
  - Asymmetric • Always  $\geq 0$  and =0 only if P = Q

# Noiseless Coding

The expected code word length with code c for random variable X is I(X,c)=∑ P(X=x) |c(x)|.

**Noiseless Coding Theorem** (Shannon):

•  $H(X) \le \min \{I(X,c): c \text{ is prefix code}\} \le H(X)+1.$ 

Proof: (left ≤) Let P be as above, and let {I\_x:x in S} be a length set of a prefix code. Let Q be a probability mass function defined by Q(x)=2^{-I\_x} (Q is probability by Kraft inequality).

Then,  $-D(P \| Q) = \sum P(x) \log 1/P(x) - \sum P(x) \log 1/Q(x)$ 

= H(P)- $\sum P(x) \log 1/Q(x)$  = H(P)- $\sum P(x) \mid x$ )  $\leq 0$  and = 0 only if Q=P.

(right  $\leq$ ) Shannon-Fano code achieves this by coding x as c(x) with length  $\leq \log 1/P(x)+1$ . (Code word length = log 1/P(x) rounded upwards). QED Asymptotic Equivalence Entropy and Expected Complexity

String x=y\_1 ... y\_m (l(y\_i)=n)

p\_k= d({i: y\_i = k}) / m for k = 1,...,2^n=N.

Theorem (2.8.1 in book).  $C(x) \le m(H + \epsilon(m))$ with  $H = \sum p_k \log 1/p_k$ ,  $\epsilon(m) = 2^{n+1} l(m)/m$  $(\epsilon(m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } n \text{ fixed}).$ 

Proof.  $C(x) \le 2l(mp_1)+...+ 2l(mp_N)+l(j)$  with  $j \le (m_m)$   $mp_1 ... mp_N$ Since  $mp_k \le m$  we have  $C(x)\le 2N l(m) + l(j)$ , and writing multinomial as

factorials and using Stirling's approximation, the theorem is proved.

## Continued

- For x is an outcome of a sequence of independent trials, a random variable X, the inequality can be replaced by an asymptotic equality w.h.p. Namely, X uniform with 2<sup>n</sup> outcomes:
- $H(X)=\sum P(X=x) \log 1/P(X=x)$  and  $E=\sum P(X=x) C(x)$ (I(x)=n). There are at least 2^n(1-2^{-c+1}) many x's with  $C(x)\ge n-c$ .
- Hence,  $n/(n+O(1)) \le H(X)/E \le n/(1-2^{-c+1})(n-c))$ . Substitute c=log n to obtain

lim H(X)/E = 1 for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Symmetry of Information

In Shannon information theory, the symmetry of information is well known:

I(X;Y)=I(Y;X) with

I(X;Y)=H(Y)-H(Y|X) (information in random variable X about random variable Y)

Here X,Y are random variables, and probability  $P(X=x) = p_x$ and the entropy

 $H(X) = \sum p_x \log 1/p_x.$ 

The proof is by simple rewriting.

## Algorithmic Symmetry of Information

- In Kolmogorov complexity, the symmetry of information is : I(x;y)=I(x;y) with I(x;y)=C(y)-C(y|x) up to an additive log term. The proof is totally different, as well as the meaning, from Shannon's concept.
- The term C(y)-C(y|x) is known as "the information x knows about y". That information is symmetric was first proved by Levin and Kolmogorov (in Zvonkin-Levin, Russ. Math Surv, 1970)

Theorem (2.8.2 book). C(x)-C(x|y)=C(y)-C(y|x), up to an additive log-term. **Proof.** Essentially we will prove:

 $C(x,y)=C(y|x)+C(x) + O(\log C(x,y)).$ 

(Since  $C(x,y)=C(x|y)+C(y) + O(\log C(x,y))$ , Theorem follows).

(≤). It is trivial that  $C(x,y) \le C(y|x) + C(x) + O(\log C(x,y))$  is true.

(≥). We now need to prove  $C(x,y) \ge C(y|x) + C(x) + O(\log C(x,y))$ .

### Proving: $C(x,y) \ge C(y|x)+C(x) + O(\log C(x,y))$ .

Assume to the contrary: for each  $c \ge 0$ , there are x and y s.t.

$$C(x,y) \leq C(y|x) + C(x) - c \log C(x,y)$$

Let  $A=\{(u,z): C(u,z) \le C(x,y)\}$ . Given C(x,y), the set A can be recursively enumerated.

Let  $A_x = \{z: C(x,z) \le C(x,y)\}$ . Given C(x,y) and x, we have a simple algorithm to recursively enumerate  $A_x$ . One can describe y, given x, using its index in the enumeration of  $A_x$ , and C(x,y). Hence

(2)

(3)

 $C(y|x) \le \log |A_x| + 2\log C(x,y) + O(1)$ 

By (1) and (2), for each c, there are x, y s.t.

 $|A_x|>2^e$ , where  $e=C(x,y)-C(x)+(c-2) \log C(x,y)-O(1)$ .

But now, we obtain a too short description for x as follows. Given C(x,y) and e, we can recursively enumerate the strings u which are candidates for x by satisfying condition

 $A_u = \{z: C(u,z) \le C(x,y)\}, and 2^e < |A_u|.$ 

Denote the set of such u by U. Clearly, x  $\varepsilon$  U. Also

{(u,z) : u ε U & z ε A<sub>u</sub>} ⊆A

(4)

(5)

The number of elements in A cannot exceed the available number of programs that are short enough to satisfy its definition:

 $|A| \le 2^{C(x,y)+O(1)}$ 

Note that {u} x A<sub>u</sub> is a disjoint subset of A for every different u in U. Using (3), (4), (5),

 $|U| \le |A| / \min \{ |\{A_u| : u \text{ in } U\}| \le |A| / 2^e \le 2^{C(x,y)+O(1)} / 2^e$ 

Hence we can reconstruct x from C(x,y), e, and the index of x in the enumeration of U. Therefore

 $C(x) < 2\log C(x,y) + 2\log e + C(x,y) - e + O(1)$ 

substituting e as given above yields C(x) < C(x), for large c, contradiction.

(1)

QED

# Symmetry of Information is sharp

#### Example.

Let n be random: C(n) = |n|+O(1). Let x be random of length n: C(x|n)=n+O(1)and C(x) = n+O(1).

#### Then:

 $C(n)-C(n|x) = |n|+O(1) = \log n+O(1)$ C(x)-C(x|n) = n-n+O(1) = O(1).So  $I(x:n) = I(n:x)+\log n +O(1).$ 

## Kamae Theorem

For each natural number m, there is a string x such that for all but finitely many strings y,  $I(y;x)=C(x)-C(x|y) \ge m$ 

That is: there exist finite objects x such that almost all finite objects y contain a large amount of information about them.