

MDL exercises, eighth handout  
(due April 20, 14:15)

1. A *probabilistic source* may be defined as a probability distribution on sequences of infinite length. But to make this formally precise requires measure theory, which we want to avoid here. An easier formal definition defines a source to be a sequence of probability distributions,  $P^1, P^2, \dots$ : one for each sample size  $1, 2, \dots$ . These distributions must satisfy the property of *compatibility*: for all  $n$ , it must be the case that  $P^n(x^n) = \sum_{y \in \Sigma} P^{n+1}(x^n y)$ .

a) Show that a sequence of probability distributions is compatible if and only if we have

$$P^{n+1}(x_{n+1} | x^n) = P^{n+1}(x^{n+1})/P^n(x^n). \quad (1)$$

b) Why is it not necessary to require compatibility if we directly define a source as a distribution over infinitely many outcomes?

c) Suppose that we have a parametric source  $P^1(x^1 | \theta), \dots$ . Show that, using any prior on  $\theta$ , the sequence of Bayesian marginal distributions is also a source.

d) Show, by giving an explicit example, that, at least for some i.i.d. models  $\{P_\theta | \theta \in \Theta\}$  for which NML is well-defined, that the sequence of NML distributions  $P_{\text{nml}}^{(1)}, P_{\text{nml}}^{(2)}, \dots$  is not a source (hint: you already implicitly did this in a previous homework exercise!)

e) Consider the family of exponential distributions: for  $x > 0$ , the density of  $x$  according to the distribution with parameter  $\lambda > 0$  is given by  $\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ . We take a uniform prior density over the parameter space. While this prior is improper, the corresponding posterior after observing one or more observations is proper. Calculate the posterior of  $\lambda$  after observing a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .