# **Today: Universal Models/Codes**

- 1. normalized maximum likelihood code for countably infinite models (Chapter 7)
- 2. Bayesian marginal likelihood codes for countably infinite models (Chapter 8)
- 3. The amazing Jeffreys prior
  - Alternative for Laplace's rule of succession
- 4. Questions/Feedback

Note: quite a lot of homework this time!

#### **Universal Codes**

- $\mathcal{L}$ : set of code (length function)s available to encode data  $x^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- Suppose we think that one of the code(length function)s in  $\mathcal{L}$  allows for substantial compression of  $x^n$
- GOAL (for now): encode x<sup>n</sup> using minimum number of bits!

# **Universal Codes**

- But there exist codes *L* which, for any sequence  $x^n$  are 'almost' as good as  $\inf_{L \in \mathcal{L}} L(x^n)$
- These are called **universal codes** for  $\mathcal{L}$

#### **Regret** Universal Model

Regret of distribution  $\bar{p}$  on data  $x^n$  relative to model  $\mathcal{M} = \{ p_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta \}$  is given by:

$$-\log \overline{p}(x^n) - (-\log p_{\widehat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n))$$

# **Minimax Optimal Regret**

$$\inf_{\bar{p}} \sup_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \left\{ -\log \bar{p}(x^n) - (-\log p_{\widehat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n)) \right\}$$

is achieved for Normalized Maximum Likelihood (NML) distribution (Shtarkov 1987):

$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{nml}}(x^n) = \frac{p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n)}{\sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} p_{\hat{\theta}(y^n)}(y^n)}$$

$$\inf_{\overline{p}} \sup_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \left\{ -\log \overline{p}(x^n) - (-\log p_{\widehat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n)) \right\}$$

#### is achieved for Normalized Maximum Likelihood (NML) distribution (Shtarkov 1987):

$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{nml}}(x^n) = \frac{p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n)}{\sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} p_{\hat{\theta}(y^n)}(y^n)}$$

For all  $x^n$ , regret given by

 $-\log \bar{p}_{\mathsf{nml}}(x^n) - \left[-\log p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n)\right] = \log \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} p_{\hat{\theta}(y^n)}(y^n)$ 

(equalizer strategy)

# How do the three Universal Codes Compare for finite model, $|\Theta| = K$ ?

- 2-part: worst-case regret bounded by log *K*
- Bayes: worst-case regret (usually strictly) smaller
- NML: worst-case regret given by parametric complexity

$$\operatorname{comp}(\mathcal{M}) = \log \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} p_{\widehat{\theta}(y^n)}(y^n)$$

• even (usually strictly) smaller

# Parametric Complexity/ Minimax Regret, regular models

Finite  $\mathcal{M}$ :

 $\operatorname{comp}(\mathcal{M}) = \log\left(|\Theta| - \text{``total amount of confusion''}\right)$ 

Countably infinite, "INECCSI" ( $\approx$  compact)  $\Theta_0$ 

$$\operatorname{comp}(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{k}{2} \log \frac{n}{2\pi} + \log \int_{\Theta_0} \sqrt{\det I(\theta)} + o(1)$$

$$f$$
"geometric" contribution
to complexity/minimax regret

dimensional contribution to complexity/minimax regret

#### **Geometric Interpretation**



# Bernoulli vs. Crazy Bernoulli embedded in First-Order Markov

#### **Regret of Bayes universal model**

$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) := \int_{\Theta} p_{\theta}(x^n) w(\theta) d\theta$$

$$-\log \bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) = -\log p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n) + \frac{k}{2}\log \frac{n}{2\pi} -\log w(\hat{\theta}(x^n)) + \log \sqrt{\det I(\hat{\theta}(x^n))} + o(1)$$

# **Regret of Bayes universal model**

$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) := \int_{\Theta} p_{\theta}(x^n) w(\theta) d\theta$$

$$-\log \bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) = -\log p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n) + \frac{k}{2}\log \frac{n}{2\pi} -\log w(\hat{\theta}(x^n)) + \log \sqrt{\det I(\hat{\theta}(x^n))} + o(1)$$

- convergence uniform for all  $x^n$  with  $\hat{\theta}(x^n) \in \Theta_{\text{ineccsi}} \subset \Theta$ if prior continuous and bounded away from 0 on  $\Theta_{\text{ineccsi}}$
- within O(1) of NML: for all 'reasonable' priors, Bayes gives universal model
- It can be better or worse than NML: **luckiness**

# **Regret of Bayes universal model**

$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) := \int_{\Theta} p_{\theta}(x^n) w(\theta) d\theta$$

$$-\log \bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) = -\log p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n) + \frac{k}{2}\log \frac{n}{2\pi} -\log w(\hat{\theta}(x^n)) + \log \sqrt{\det I(\hat{\theta}(x^n))} + o(1)$$

- convergence uniform for all  $x^n$  with  $\hat{\theta}(x^n) \in \Theta_{\text{ineccsi}} \subset \Theta$ if prior continuous and bounded away from 0 on  $\Theta_{\text{ineccsi}}$
- within O(1) of NML: for all 'reasonable' priors, Bayes gives universal model
- It can be better or worse than NML: luckiness
- but can it be made to mimic NML?

# The Amazing Jeffreys' Prior

 In 1946, Sir Harold Jeffreys (who discovered that the interior of the earth is fluid) proposed what is now called Jeffreys' prior,

$$w(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\det I(\theta)}}{\int_{\Theta_0} \sqrt{\det I(\theta)} d\theta}$$

 ...to be used "when real prior knowledge is lacking"

# **Regret of Bayes-Jeffreys**

$$-\log \bar{p}_{\mathsf{Bayes}}(x^n) = -\log p_{\hat{\theta}(x^n)}(x^n) +$$

$$+\frac{k}{2}\log\frac{n}{2\pi}-\log w(\hat{\theta}(x^n))+\log\sqrt{\det I(\hat{\theta}(x^n))}+o(1)$$

- within O(1) of NML: for all 'reasonable' priors, Bayes gives universal model
- But if we plug in Jeffreys' prior, within o(1).
- With Jeffreys prior, asymptotically Bayes and NML coincide!

$$w_{\text{Jeffreys}}(\theta) = rac{\sqrt{\det I(\theta)}}{\int_{\Theta_0} \sqrt{\det I(\theta)} d\theta}$$

• 
$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{B-J}}(x^n) := \int_{\Theta_0} p_{\theta}(x^n) w_{\mathsf{Jeffreys}}(\theta) d\theta$$

- often easier to compute than  $\bar{p}_{nml}$
- ...has been advocated as prior for model selection in the Bayesian literature – makes MDL and Bayes "consistent"

#### **Jeffreys' Prior vs Luckiness**

- Jeffreys' introduced his prior for different reasons
- Important Reason: invariance to
   **reparameterization** parameter space
  - in uncountable spaces, the notion of 'uniform' prior depends on choice of parameterization (and is hence arbitrary)
  - Example: Bernoulli can also be parameterized by  $p_{\theta}(X = 1) = \theta^2$ . Uniform density on  $\theta$  gives a very different distribution on the set of Bernoulli distributions than uniform density on  $\theta$  in standard parameterization

- Example: Bernoulli can also be parameterized by  $p_{\theta}(X = 1) = \theta^2$ . Uniform density on  $\theta$  gives a very different distribution on the set of Bernoulli distributions than uniform density on  $\theta$  in standard parameterization
- Jeffreys' prior is parameterization invariant. (Hence a better choice for ignorance than Laplace-Bayes' choice, which was the uniform prior)

Jeffreys prior for Bernoulli:

$$\bar{p}_{\mathsf{B}-\mathsf{J}}(X_{n+1}=1\mid x^n) = \frac{n_1+1/2}{n+1}$$

- Jeffreys prior for Gaussian location:
  - uniform on  $\Theta_0$  (space of means) (parameter space must be restricted, otherwise prior "improper" – i.e. does not integrate)

# "Luckiness Again"

 See drawing: for what sequences does Jeffreys' prior lead to smaller regret, for what sequences to larger regret?

# Geometric Interpretation of Jeffreys' prior

- Jeffreys' prior is uniform prior on space of distributions rather than parameters...
- ...when 'distance' between distributions is measured by
  - KL divergence
  - 'distinguishability'

# **Next Week**

- Simple MDL/Bayesian Model Selection:
  - again, almost the same!
- Yet another universal code/model: "prequential"

Ø Ø, COUBR PARAMETER SPACE BY E-LULISAOK LEIBLER BALLS METRIC  $B(\varepsilon) = \{\Theta': D(O||\Theta') \leq \varepsilon\}$ Not motero  $\approx \int \mathcal{O}': \mathbf{W} \neq (\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}')^T J(\mathcal{O})(\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}') \in \mathcal{E}$ L& ELLIPSOIDS IN PARAMETER SPACE WIEFFREY (A) = LIM HBALLS INTHRAONSE IN A ELO HBALLS " EINPAR E IN PARAMETER SPACE

BALASUBRAMANIAN Pg (GE Bg(E)) Ø, 3.7 -1 10 -1 DISTINGUISHABILITY O, COUER PARAMETER SPACE BY E-KULGAOK LEIBLER BALLS  $B(\varepsilon) = \left\{ \Theta' : D(O||\Theta') \le \varepsilon \right\} \quad METRIC$   $O(\varepsilon) = \left\{ \Theta' : D(O||\Theta') \le \varepsilon \right\}$  $\sim \int \Theta' : \mathbf{W} \neq (\Theta - \Theta')^T \mathbf{J}(\Theta)(\Theta - \Theta') \leq \varepsilon$ LA ELLIPSOIDS IN PARAMETER SPACE WJEFFREY (A) = LIM HBALLS INTHRAONSE IN A ELO HBALLS " " EIN PAR E IN PARAMETER SPACE

 $\mathcal{P}(\Theta|\Theta) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\Theta) (\Theta, -\Theta)^2 \right]$ EXAMPLE BERNOULLI I(6) 0.5 0 1 4 0=0.5: D(Gll0+E)~ 4E2. G=0.1: DIUIGIE) = 10 22 =112 NORMAL: [(G) CONSTANT LOCATION  $D(\Theta \| G') = \frac{1}{22} (\Theta - G')^2$ "NO CURVATURS" · DISCRETIZE WITH WIDTH of VECO) NEXT VDET I(G) WERK · BATES : YOU USE PRIOR of ICO? · NML : ALSO RELATED TO ILO).